

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

C.C No. OF 2025
IN

W.P No. 15516 OF 2024

RUNNING INDEX

Sl. No.	Description of Document	Date of Document	Date of filing of Document	Page No.
1	Copy of Contempt affidavit and petition	29.12.2025	29.12.2024	1-5
2.	Copy of the Order in W.P No 15516 of 2024	23.07.2024	-do-	6-13
3.	Copy of the Affidavit, Petition in W.P No.15516 of 2024	15.07.2024	-do-	14-21
4.	Copy of the Representation	01.04.2025	-do-	22-28
5.	Vakalath	29.04.2025	-do-	29

DATE:29.04.2025
AMARAVATHI


Counsel for the Petitioner

1

MEMORANDUM OF CONTEMPT OF CASE

(UNDER SEC. 10 TO 12 OF CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

**C.C No. OF 2025
IN**

W.P No. 15516 OF 2024

Between:

1. Boya Ramanjaneyulu, S/o. Boya Hanumanthu,
Aged about 45 years,
R/o.Dr.No.1-14, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
2. Boya Mukenna, S/o. Boya Bheeshanna,
Aged about 43 years,
R/o.Dr.No.1/157, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

Sri Ramachandra Mohan,
The Commissioner,
Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada,
NTR District.

...RESPONDENT

The address for service of all notices and process on the above-named Petitioner is that of his counsel M/s.V.S.K.RAMA RAO (2636), Y.D.SAGAR, Advocates, R/o. H.No. 5-62-47, 4/19 Brodipet, Guntur District.

For the reasons stated in accompanying affidavit, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to punish the respondent herein for the willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the orders Dt.23.07.2024 of this Hon'ble Court made in W.P.No.15516/2024 and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Date: 29.04.2025
AMARAVATHI


Counsel for Petitioner

2

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATHI**

C.C No. OF 2025

IN

WP.NO. 15516 OF 2024

Between:

1. Boya Ramanjaneyulu, S/o. Boya Hanumanthu,
Aged about 45 years,
R/o.Dr.No.1-14, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
2. Boya Mukenna, S/o. Boya Bheeshanna,
Aged about 43 years,
R/o.Dr.No.1/157, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

Sri Ramachandra Mohan,
The Commissioner,
Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada,
NTR District.

...RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT FILED ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS

I, Boya Ramajaneyulu, S/o. Boya Hanumanthu, Aged about 45 years, R/o.Dr.No.1-14, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District, having temporarily comedown to Amaravathi, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state on oath as follows :

1. I am the deponent herein and 1st petitioner in the above contempt case as such, I am well acquainted with the facts of the case. I am deposing this affidavit on behalf of the 2nd petitioner also as he authorized me to do so.
2. I submit that the writ petition W.P.No.15516/2024 is filed questioning the action of the respondent in not initiating appropriate action against the 7th & 8th respondents for protection of the 6th respondent temple situated at Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District as bad, illegal, arbitrary and violative of Principles of Natural justice, violative of articles 14, & 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh

Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions & Endowments Act, 1987, and Rules made there under and consequently direct the respondents 2 to 6 to initiate action against the 7th & 8th respondents and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

3. I submit that the 6th respondent temple is a public temple and it is situate in Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District. One Sri T. Rajanna was initially appointed as an Archaka in the temple in the year 2016 though he is not qualified and eligible to be appointed. Ever since his appointment the said Rajanna is rendering archakatvam in the temple occasionally and he has even introduced One Sri T. Chinna Veeresh as another Archaka. Both of them are occasionally attending the duties as they have high political influence in the village. Occasionally both the said archakas misbehaved with the villagers. The archakas were requested to perform Abhishekam and Poojas in the Names & Gotra of the villagers but the archakas never used to render their service as requested.
4. I submit that the petitioners and other villagers approached the authorities and requested to take action against the archakas but the authorities remained silent. The archakas were selling away the moveable properties of the temple for their personal expenses and they have mortgaged the land of the temple to an extent of Ac.1.00cts out of the total extent of Ac.9.00cts in Sy.No.37-A. We made several representations to the authorities to take appropriate action against the archakas. As there was no response from the authorities we filed the above writ petition W.P.No.15516/2024.
5. I submit that the said writ petition W.P.No.15516/2024 cameup for hearing before this Hon'ble Court on --- and the same was disposed of holding thus :

"5. Considering the submissions of both the counsel, without going into the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition, directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose the representation submitted by the petitioners dated 09.07.2024 and pass appropriate orders, in

accordance with law, within a period of four (04) months from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order."

6. I submit that we submitted a representation along with a copy of the order received through only for which the authorities have responded and caused an enquiry on 06.10.2024. During the enquiry the statement of the villagers and the statement of the archakas were taken. The authorities have informed that they have submitted their report to the respondent herein and are awaiting orders. We submitted our representation to the respondent on 01.04.2025 and the respondent has not considered our representation so far.
7. I submit that the action of the respondent is in violation of the orders of this Hon'ble Court, Dt.23.07.2024. The respondent has committed Contempt of Court by flouting the orders of this Hon'ble Court. The respondent has not considered our representation Dt.09.07.2024 so far and has thus deliberately, willfully and wantonly violated the orders of this Hon'ble Court and as such, he is liable for punishment under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Hence the above contempt.

8.

In the light of the above mentioned facts and circumstances, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to punish the respondent herein for the willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the orders Dt.23.07.2024 of this Hon'ble Court made in W.P.No.15516/2024 and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Sworn and signed before me on this the
16th day of April, 2025.

DEPONENT

Before ME
Advocate//Amaravathi

**VERIFICATION**

Boya Ramajaneyulu, S/o. Boya Hanumanthu, Aged about 45 years, R/o.Dr.No.1-14, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District, the petitioner herein and person acquainted with the facts of the case, do hereby verify that all the contents of the above affidavit are true and correct and are given to the best of my knowledge. Verified on this 16th day of April, 2025 at Amaravathi.


ADVOCATE

DEPONENT

6

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR



PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 15516 OF 2024

Between:

1. Boya Ramanjaneyulu, S/o Boya hanumanthu, R/o. D.No.1-14, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
2. Boya Mukenna, S/o Boya Bheeshanna, R/o.D.No. 1/157, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

...Petitioner(s)

AND

1. The State of AP, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Endowment Department, A.P. Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Commissioner, Endowment Department, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
3. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
4. The Assistant Commissioner, Endowment Department, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
5. The Executive Officer, Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

57

6. Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple, Rep. by its Executive Officer,
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

7. T. Rajanna, S/o Not Known, Occ Ex- Archaka, Urukunda Village
Kowthala Mandal, Kurnool District.

8. T. China Veeresh, S/o Not Known Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru
Mandal, Kurnool District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents no. 2 to 5 in not initiating appropriate action against the 7 and 8 respondents for protection of 6th respondent temple situated at Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal is as illegal, arbitrary, violation of principles of natural justice, violative of Articles 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, and rules made thereunder and consequently the respondents no 2 to 6 to initiate action against the 7 and 8 respondents.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No 2 to 6 to consider the representation dt. 10.03.2024, 09.07.2024 made by the petitioners for taking necessary action against the 7 & 8 respondents pending disposal of the writ petition.

2

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): SRI. P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 4: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondents 5 and 6: SRI. G. RAMANA RAO, SC

Counsel for the Respondents 7 and 8: NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following ORDER:



9

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3310]

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 15516/2024

Between:

Boya Ramanjaneyulu and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

...RESPONDENT(S)

The Court made the following Order:

This Writ Petition is filed, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

...to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents no.2 to 5 in not initiating appropriate action against the 7 and 8 respondents for protection of 6th respondent temple situated at Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal is as illegal, arbitrary, violation of principles of natural justice, violative of Articles 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, and rules made thereunder and consequently the

10

respondents no.2 to 6 to initiate action against the 7 and 8 respondents...."

2. Heard Mr.P.Narasimhulu, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments appearing for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 as well as Mr.Mekapotula Srinivasa Rao, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Respondent No.6.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners were residents of Garladinee Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool district and they were members of bhajana mandali and devotee of Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple, situated in their village. He further submits that the respondent Nos.2 to 6, thought that the 7th respondent is not eligible for appointment of archaka in subject temple, however under the instigation of local ruling party political leaders, he was appointed as a archaka in the temple in the year 2016, since then he has been doing archakatwam in that temple. Taking advantage of political influence, the respondent Nos.7 and 8 not attending temple regularly, and when questioned about absence, they replied arrogant answers and sometimes quarrelled with the devotees. The petitioners and other villagers approached respondent Nos.2 to 6 several times to initiate appropriate action against the respondent Nos.7 and 8, but they kept silent for the reasons best known to them. He further submits that the respondent Nos.7 and 8 are selling several movable properties of the temple for their personal expenses and also

mortgaged the land to an extent of Ac.1.00 cents out of Ac.9.00 cents in Survey No.37-A which belongs to temple. He further submits that the respondent Nos.7 and 8 are not attending the temple since 2019 and the petitioners and other villagers are facing lot of problems for doing pooja, spiritual and other religious programmes. Hence, the petitioners and other villagers made a detailed representation dated 09.07.2024 to the respondent Nos.2 to 6 to initiate appropriate action against the respondent Nos.7 and 8. Therefore, learned counsel requests to issue a direction to the respondents to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 09.07.2024.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments submits that the respondent authorities will process the representation of the petitioners dated 09.07.2024, in accordance with law.

5. Considering the submissions of both the counsel, without going into the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition, directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose the representation submitted by the petitioners dated 09.07.2024 and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

12
There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- M SRINIVAS
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Endowment Department, State of AP, A.P. Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Commissioner, Endowment Department, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
3. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
4. The Assistant Commissioner, Endowment Department, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
5. The Executive Officer, Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
6. Executive Officer, Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
7. T. Rajanna, S/o Not Known, Occ Ex- Archaka, Urukunda Village Kowthala Mandal, Kurnool District.
8. T. China Veeresh, S/o Not Known Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
9. One CC to SRI. P Narasimhulu Advocate [OPUC]
10. One CC to SRI. G. Ramana Rao, SC Advocate [OPUC]
11. Two CCs to GP for Endowments, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh.
[OUT]
12. Three CD Copies

PHR

13

HIGH COURT

DATED: 23/07/2024

ORDER

WP.No.15516 of 2024



**DISPOSING THE WP
WITHOUT COSTS**

PHR

14

**MEMORANDUM OF WRIT PETITION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)
(UNDER ART. 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

W.P. NO.

15516

OF 2024

Between:

1. **Boya Ramanjaneyulu,
S/o Boya hanumanthu , R/o. D.No.1-14, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.**
2. **Boya Mukenna, S/o Boya Bheeshanna,
R/o.D.No. 1/157, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.**

..Petitioner/s

AND

1. **The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Endowment Department, A.P. Secretariat, Velagapudi,
Amaravati, Guntur District.**
2. **The Commissioner,
Endowment Department,
Amaravathi, Guntur District.**
3. **The District Collector,
Kurnool District.**
4. **The Assistant Commissioner,
Endowment Department, Kurnool,
Kurnool District.**
5. **The Executive Officer,
Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple,
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.**
6. **Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple,
Rep. by its Executive Officer,
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.**

- 15
7. T. Rajanna S/o Not Known,
Occ : Ex- Archaka, Urukunda Village,
Kowthala Mandal, Kurnool District.
 8. T. China Veeresh, S/o Not Known
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.

..Respondent/s

The address for service on the above named Petitioners is that of their counsel Narasimhulu Parise (10270), Advocate, Flat No. 501, Bala Krishna Homes, Behind Bashyam Titanic School, Gorantla, Guntur.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is hereby prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents no. 2 to 5 in not initiating appropriate action against the 7 & 8 respondents for protection of 6th respondent temple situated at Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal is as illegal, arbitrary, violation of principles of natural justice, violative of Articles 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, and rules made thereunder and consequently the respondents no 2 to 6 to initiate action against the 7 & 8 respondents and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Amaravati
DATE: 15.07.2024


Counsel for the Petitioner

16

(5)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI**

W.P.No. 15516 of 2024

Between:

1. Boya Ramanjaneyulu,
S/o Boya hanumanthu, R/o. D.No.1-14, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.
2. Boya Mukenna, S/o Boya Bheeshanna,
R/o.D.No. 1/157, Garladinne Village,
Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

And

...Petitioners

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Endowment Department, A.P. Secretariat, Velagapudi,
Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Commissioner,
Endowment Department,
Amaravathi, Guntur District.
3. The District Collector,
Kurnool District.
4. The Assistant Commissioner,
Endowment Department, Kurnool,
Kurnool District.
5. The Executive Officer,
Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple,
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.
6. Sree Anjaneeya Swamy temple,
Rep. by its Executive Officer,
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.
7. T. Rajanna S/o Not Known,
Occ : Ex- Archaka, Urukunda Village,
Kowthala Mandal, Kurnool District.

⑥

17

8. T. China Veeresh, S/o Not Known
Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal,
Kurnool District.

... Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Boya Ramanjaneyulu, R/o. D.No.1-14, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:

1. I am the petitioner herein and as such I am fully acquainted with the facts of the case. I am authorised to file this affidavit on behalf of 2nd petitioner also.
2. I humbly submit that the petitioners were resident of Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District and they are the members of bhanajana mandali and devotees of the Sri Anjaneeya Swamy temple, the same is situated in their village. The petitioners and other villagers participated several programmes which were conducted by they themselves along with others in the said temple by spending their own money. The petitioners are also attended regular poojas and every evening they were participated in bhajana programmes in the above said temple.
3. It is respectfully submits that the respondents no. 2 to 6, thought the 5th respondent is not eligible for appointment of archaka in the subject temple, however under the instigation of local ruling party political leaders initially was appointed as a archaka in temple in the year 2016, since then he has been doing archakatwam in that temple. It is further submitted that the 7 & 8 respondent taking advantage of his political influence, he is not attending temple regularly, when the petitioners and other villagers questioning his absence, however he replied arrogant answers and sometimes he is quarrelled with the devotees who are attending poojas in the temple. It is further submitted that the petitioners and other villagers facing lot of problem at the

time of attending bhajana programmes in the said temple due to the misbehaviour of 7 & 8 respondents. It is further submitted that sometimes the inconvenient and misbehaviour of 7 & 8 respondents, the petitioners and others are terrorised in the hands of the 7 & 8 respondents at the time of participation of cultural and other religious programmes in the said temple. It is further submitted that the petitioners and other villagers are requested the 7 & 8 respondent to perform abhichekam and gotranamams in the temple but he instead of conducting archakatwam, he replied in aversive manner. The several occasions the petitioners and other villagers approached before the respondents no. 2 to 6 to initiate appropriate action against the 7 & 8 respondents and save the temple from his hands, however they are kept it silent for the reasons best known to him. It is further submitted that the 7 & 8 respondent is selling several movable properties of the temple for his personal expenses, the petitioners learnt that the 7 & 8 respondents mortgaged the land being an extent of Ac. 1.00 cents out of Ac. 9.00 cents in Sy.No. 37-A which is belongs to the temple for his personal necessities.

4. It is respectfully submits that the petitioners and other villagers are unable to bear the highhanded illegal and arbitrary action of the 7 & 8 respondents and requested the respondents no. 4 and 5 to initiate appropriate discipline action against the 7 & 8 respondents, but they replied they will consider the request of the petitioners to safeguard the temple from the hands of the 7 & 8 respondents, but it become in vain. It is further submitted that the 7 & 8 respondents is not attending the temple since from 2019 onwards, during this period, the petitioners and other villagers are facing lot of problems for doing pooja, spiritual and other religious programmes in that temple, which factum is very much known by the 6th respondent, however instead of initiating appropriate action against the 7 & 8 respondents interim directed the petitioners and other villagers to do poojas as your will and wish. The 7 & 8 respondents is not eligible for appointment of archaka in the subject temple and he is committed several irregularities, in this period and appropriate huge

amounts in respect of the subject temple. The petitioners and other villagers made a detailed representation dt.09.07.2024 to the respondents no. 2 to 6 against the 7 & 8 respondents to initiate appropriate proceedings against the 7 & 8 respondents and protect the temple from the hands of him. It is further submitted that the 7 & 8 respondents is continuously absent from his duties since from 2019 onwards and the subject temple are facing lot of problems, till date religious programmes has not been conducted in the subject temple.

5. It is respectfully submits that the temple situated in the village of the petitioners is historical one and the respondents no 2 to 6 are neglecting to perform religious programmes in the subject temple. The highhanded illegal and arbitrary action of the respondents no. 2 to 6 in initiating appropriate action against the 7 & 8 respondents and also not doing pooja, religious and spiritual programmes in the subject temple is clear violation of article 14, 21 of constitution of India. Unless, this Hon'ble court directed the respondents no. 2 to 6 to initiate appropriate action against the 7 & 8 respondents and protect the subject temple from the hands of him, otherwise the petitioners will put suffer irreparable loss and hardship. Hence, the present Writ Petition.

6. I submit that I have no other alternative and efficacious remedy except to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

7. I have not filed any writ or other proceedings before any authority or Court for the relief sought for in this writ petition.

It is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents no. 2 to 5 in not initiating appropriate

action against the 7 & 8 respondents for protection of 6th respondent temple situated at Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal is as illegal, arbitrary, violation of principles of natural justice, violative of Articles 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of The Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987, and rules made thereunder and consequently the respondents no 2 to 6 to initiate action against the 7 & 8 respondents and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

It is further prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No 2 to 6 to consider the representation dt. 10.03.2024, 09.07.2024 made by the petitioners for taking necessary action against the 7 & 8 respondents pending disposal of the writ petition, and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Sworn and signed before me on

This the 10th day of July, 2024

Deponent

Attestor

11/10

21

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, **Boya Ramanjaneyulu**, R/o. **D.No.1-14, Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District**, being the deponent herein, do hereby **verify and declare that the above stated facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and basing on the material on record and as per legal advice.**

Verified on this the 10th Day of July, 2024.

Nav P
Counsel for petitioner

Deponent

22

శీర్షిక

మహారాజాశ్రీ గౌరవనీయులైన ఎండ్ మేంటు కమిషనరు గౌరవూడి
అమరావతి వారి దివ్య సముఖమునకు నమస్కరించి ద్రాసుకోన్న
విన్నవము ఏవనగా,


విషయం:- రాష్ట్ర గౌరవ హైకోర్టు వారి WP No. 15516/2024 తేది 23/07/2024
ఉత్తర్వులు మీరకు కర్పాలు జిల్లా ఎమ్మిగనూరు మండలం గార్లదిన్న
గ్రామాలో శ్రీ ఆంజనేయస్వామి దేవాలయము నకు చెందిన
అర్చకులను 1. బి. రాజన్న S/o. లేట్ నరసన్న మరియు 2. బి. చిన్న
చిరన్ S/o. లేట్ నరసన్న తీసివేయమని (రద్దు సయము) గార్లదిన్న
గ్రామస్థులు ఈ దినము అనగా 10-03-2024 న ఎమ్మిగనూరు అర్చి నైన
తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకొని ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేయటం గురించి.

అయ్య

మేము అనగా 1. బి. రామాంజనేయలు S/o. బోయ హనుమంతు 2. బోయ మూకన్న
S/o. బోయ బీసన్న గార్లదిన్న గ్రామం ఎమ్మిగనూరు మండలాలు కర్పాలు జిల్లా వాసులము.

మా గ్రామం గార్లదిన్న ఎమ్మిగనూరు మండలం మా గ్రామంలో శ్రీ ఆంజనేయస్వామి
దేవాలయమునకు గాను అర్చకులు గాను 1. బి. రాజన్న S/o. లేట్ నరసన్న (Employee Code
No 25160 మరియు 2. బి. చిన్న చిరన్ S/o. నరసన్న (Employee code No. 25159) మేర్లకు
ఇరువురని ఎండ్ మేంటు ప్రభుత్వం వారు నియమనిబంధనలు మేరకు అర్చకులుగా Re No
A1/4561/2019 ప.వి తేది 06-03-2020 ఉత్తర్వులు మీరకు నియమింపడం జరిగింది.

అయితే వీరు ఇరువురు ఎన్నిక అయినప్పుడు కోర్టి కాలము మాత్రము ప్రతి రోజు దినవర్స
వసేనారు. తర్వాత కాలము నుండి రోజు వారి క్రియలు అనగా దేవాలయము కుట్రపరచడం లేదు
దేవ దూస సేవేద్యాలు చేయడం లేదు. అర్చనాలు చేయడం లేదు పరిడగలు పర్వదినాలు వాడు
కలుగగా దేవ దూస సేవేద్యాలు చేయడం లేదు. ప్రస్తుతము కూడా అర్చకత్వం వహింపడం లేదు.



(Contd. 2)

అయితే శ్రీ అంజనేయస్వామి దేవాలయమునకు సంబంధించిన సర్వీ నెంబరు 37/A లో పిన్ నెంబరు 8.97 నంబరు భూమిని సమానంగా అనుభవిస్తున్నారు. వీరు రాజకీయ అండదండలతో రూలింగ్ పార్టీ అండతో సదరు భూమిని వీరు పెద్ద పైన అక్రమంగా అన్ లైన్ లో పట్టాదారు పాసుపుస్తకాలు మరియు ఇతర రెవెన్యూ రికార్డులను నమోదు చేసుకొని భూమిని అమ్ముకోవాలనే ఉద్దేశముతో ఎమ్మిగనూరు తహశీల్దారు వారికి అర్జీ చేసుకోవడం జరిగింది. సదరు అర్జీ మీరకు 1. చీ. రాజన్న 2. చిన్న వీరేష్ పేర్లను అనుభవ దార్లు (online) కాలము నందు నమోదు చేయడం జరిగింది. సదరు నమోదులును తొలగించమని గ్రామ ప్రజలు తహశీల్దారు ఎమ్మిగనూరు వారికి అనుభవదార సవరణ జరపమని మీ సేవ అన్ లైన్ ఆప్లికేషన్ నెంబరు TAADLC011906396790 కిది 23-10-2019 మీరకు అడంగల్ సవరణ ధరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవడం జరిగింది. సదరు ధరఖాస్తు మీరకు ప్రభుత్వం వారు పూర్తి సమగ్ర విచారణ చెప్పి 1. వీరేష్ 2. రాజన్న పేర్లను అడంగల్ అన్ లైన్ అనుభవదార కాలము నుండి తొలగించడం జరిగింది.

అయితే గ్రామ ప్రజలు మరియు మీము శ్రీ అంజనేయస్వామి పాత దేవాలయమును తీసేసే క్రొత్త నిర్మాణము చెప్పి కిరకు నూమారు రూ. 1,20,00,000/- (అక్షరాల్లా కోటి ఇరవై లక్షలు) ఖర్చు అయ్యింది. దేవాలయ శాఖ వారు కూడా దేవాలయం నిర్మాణం కొరకు కొంత మొత్తమును ఇవ్వడం జరిగింది. కాబట్టి అర్జీకులు మీరు సర్వీ నెంబరు 37/A లో పిన్ నెంబరు 8.98 నంబరు అనుభవిస్తున్నారు. కాబట్టి మీరు ఎంత డబ్బులు ఇస్తారు అని అడుగగా వీరు నిర్లక్ష్యముగా మీము డబ్బులు ఇచ్చేద లేదు అని తిలపిస్తారు.

అయితే అర్జీకులు 1) రాజన్న మరియు 2) చీ. చిన్న వీరేష్ వారు ప్రభుత్వం వారి R.C No. A1/4561/2019 చీ.పి కిది 06-03-2020 ఉత్తర్వులు మీరకు పొంద పరచిన నిబంధనలకు విరుద్ధము నడచుకొనుచున్నారు. కాబట్టి వీర్లును అర్జీకులు నుండి తొలగించమని 09-07-2024, 10-03-2024 అధికారులకు అర్జీ దాఖలు చేసుకోవడం జరిగింది.

సదరు అర్జీ పైన సంబంధిత అధికార్లు ఎలాంటి చర్య ప్రతి చర్యలు తీసుకోవడంన మీము రాష్ట్ర గౌరవ హైకోర్టును ఆశ్రయించి కేసు వేయగా Wp No. 15516/2024 పూర్తి విచారణ జరిపి "Directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 09-07-2024 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with Law with in a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order అని గౌరవ హైకోర్టు వారు ఉత్తర్వులు జారీచేసారు.

24

3

నదరు ఉత్తర్వులు మీరకు సంబంధిత అధికారుల మా గ్రామానికి వచ్చి మా యొక్క పేటిషను పైన ఈ దినము అనగా 06-12-2024న విచారణ చేపట్టినారు కాని ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేయలేదు.

కావున దయగల అధికారులు మా మీద దయపుంచి రాష్ట్ర గౌరవ హైకోర్టు వారి WP No. 15516/2024 తేది 23/07/2024 ఉత్తర్వులు మీరకు కర్పాలు జిల్లా సెక్రెటరీగారు మండలం గార్లదిన్నె గ్రామాలో శ్రీ అంజినీయస్వామి దేవాలయము నకు చెందిన అర్చకులను 1. బి. రాజన్న S/o. లేట్ నరసన్న మరియు 2. బి. చిన్న వీరప్ప S/o. లేట్ నరసన్న తీసి వేయమని (రద్దు పరుచుము) గార్లదిన్నె గ్రామస్థులు ఈ దినము అనగా 09-07-2024 న పెట్టుకున్న అర్జీ పైన తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకోని ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేయవలసినదిగా కోరుతున్నాము.

ఇట్లు

ఈమె విధేయులు,

డి. శ్రీనివాసరావు

Enclosures:

1. Order in WP No. 15516/2024 dt. 23/07/2024
2. అర్చకుని statement dt. 06-12-2024
3. Village elders statement dt. 06-12-2024
4. Letter order in Rc A1/4561/2019 dt. 06-03-2020
5. Employee Information
6. Adangal correction receipt dt. 23/10/2019 along with application and MRI report and and village elder
7. Tahasildar Yemmiganur report R.C.B/3530/2019 dt. -10-2019
8. Adangal dt 21/10/2019
9. R.H (Register of Holidays)
10. Old ROR
11. R.S.R.
12. Representation dt. 09-07-2024

Copy to:

1. The state of AP Rep by its Principal Secretary Endowment Department AP Secretariat Buildings Secretariat Velagapudi Amaravathi Guntur Dist.
2. The Commissioner Endowment Department Gollapudi Amaravathi Guntur Dist.
3. The District collector Kurnool Kurnool Dist.
4. The Deputy Commissioner Endowment Department Kurnool, Kurnool dist.
5. The Assistant Commissioner Endowment Dept Kurnool, Kurnool Dist.

25

(TRUE TRANSLATION)

Date :

To
The Hon'ble Commissioner,
Endowments, Gollapudi,
Amaravathi.

Sub:- Upon the application made by the villagers of Garladinne on 10-03-2024 and today i.e. 9-7-2024 requesting to remove the Archakas belonging to Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple in Garladinne Village Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District viz., (1) T. Rajanna, s/o. late Narasanna and (2) T. Chinna Veeresh, s/o. late Narasana, as per the orders of the Hon'ble State High Court in W.P.No. 15516/2024, dt. 23-07-2024 – Issuing orders after taking necessary action – Reg.

Sir,

We, i.e. (1) B. Ramanjineyulu, s/o. Boya Hanmanthu and (2) Boya Mukanna, s/o. Boya Beesanna are the residents of Garladinne Village, Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District.

Both the persons viz., (1) T. Rajanna, s/o. late Narasanna (Employee Code No. 25160 and (2) T. Chinna Veeresh, s/o. Narasanna (Employee Code No. 25159) have been appointed by the Endowments Department as Archakas per the Rules and Regulations of the Government vide Rc. No.A1/4561/2019, P.D.dt. 06-03-2020 as Archakas in Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple, in our village, Garladinne, Yemmiganuru Mandal.

However, when both these persons were selected, they have done daily activity only for some time. There after, they are not doing daily activities i.e. not cleaning the Temple and offering Deepa, Dhupa, Naivedyams. Not

26
doing Archanas and not doing any work even on festivals and auspicious days. Even now, they are not doing Archakatwam.

But, they are equally enjoying the land to an extent of Ac. 8-97 cts. in Sy. No. 37/A relating to Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple. With the political support and with the support of the ruling party, they are incorporating their names for the above land online illegally and getting Pattadar Pass Books and other Revenue Records and made an application to the Tahsildar, Yemmiganur with an intention to sell the land. As per the said application, the names of 1. T. Rajanna and 2. Chinna Veeresh have been incorporated in 'enjoyers' column (online). The Villagers have made mee-seva application online vide TAADLCO11906396790 dt. 23-10-2019 to the Tahsildar, Yemmiganuru to make corrections of the enjoyers. As per the said application, the Government has taken up a thorough enquiry and removed the names of 1. Veeresh and 2. Rajanna from the enjoyers column in the online Adangal.

However, the villagers and we have spent an amount of Rs.1,20,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore and Twenty Lakhs only) to remove the old Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple and to construct new Temple. Endowments Department has also given some amount for the construction of the said Temple. These Archakas are enjoying an extent of Ac. 8-98 cents in Sy. No. 37/A and hence, when asked them as to how much amount they

27

contribute for which they have negligently told that they would not give any money.

However, the Archakas 1) Rajana and 2) T. Chinna Veeresh are acting contrary to the rules and regulations mentioned in the orders of the Government vide Rc. No. A1/4561/2019, P.D., dt. 06-03-2020. Hence, applications were made on 09-07-2024 and 10-03-2024 to remove these two Archakas.

Upon the said applications, as the officials have not taken any action, we have approached the Hon'ble High Court and filed a case in W.P.No. 15516/2024 and after thorough enquiry, the Hon'ble High Court has passed the orders as "Directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 09-07-2024 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order".

As per the said orders, the concerned officials came to our village today ie. 06-12-202 and taken up enquiry upon our petition, but no orders were passed.

Hence, I request the officials to kindly take necessary action upon the applications made by the villagers of Garladinne i.e. 10-03-224 and today i.e. 09-07-2024 requesting to remove the Archakas belonging to Sri Anjaneya Swamy Temple in Garladinne Vilage Yemmiganuru Mandal, Kurnool District viz., (1) T. Rajanna, s/o. late Narasanna and (2) T. Chinna Veeresh,

28
s/o. late Narasana, as per the orders of the Hon'ble State High Court in
W.P.No. 15516/2024, dt. 23-07-2024.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/- B. Ramanjaneyulu,
LTI of B. Mukkanna.

Enclosures :-

1. Order in WP No.15516/2024, dt. 23/07/2024.
2. Statement of Archaka, dt. 06-12-2024.
3. Statement of Village Elders, dt. 06-12-2024.
4. Letter order in Rc. A1/4561/2019, dt. 06-03-2020.
5. Employee Information.
6. Adangal correction receipt dt. 23/10/2019 along with application and MRI Report and Village elders.
7. Tahsildar Yemiganur Report R.C.B./3530/2019, dt. -10-2019.
8. Adangal dt. 21/10/2019.
9. R.H. (Register of Holidays).
10. Old ROR.
11. R.S.R.
12. Representation dt. 09-07-2024.

Copy to

1. The State of AP Rep. by its Principal Secretary Endowments Department, AP, Secretariat Buildings Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur Dist.
2. The Commissioner, Endowment Department, Golapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur Dist.
3. The District Collector Kurnool, Kurnool Dist.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Endowment Department, Kurnool, Kurnool Dist.
5. The Assistant Commissioner, Endowment Dept., Kurnool, Kurnool Dist.

(TRUE COPY)

GA

KURNOOL :: DISTRICT

IN THE HIGH COURT JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH at AMARAVATHI


C.C No. OF 2025

IN

W.P No. 15516 OF 2024

Ends

MEMO OF CONTEMPT CASE



Filed By:

M/s V.S.K.RAMA RAO (2636)
Y.D.SAGAR
Advocates

Counsel for Petitioner

Acknowledgement No.:



REV070233902

Tuesday, Apr 29, 2025 15:06:08 PM

APOLCMS

7106007773