

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in


W.P. No. 6939 of 2012

RUNNING INDEX

Sl. No	Exhibit	Description of Document	Date of Document	Date of filing of Document	Page Nos.
1.		Contempt petition and affidavit	-4-2025	28-4-2025	1-6
2.		Copy of the order in W.P.No. 6939 of 2012	20-8-2024	-do-	11-12
3.		Copy of the affidavit and petition in W.P.No. 6939 of 2012		-do-	13-24
4.		Copy of the representation with translation	2-4-2025	-do-	25-30
5.		Vakalat			31

AMARAVATI

Dated 28-4-2025


COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS

1
Memorandum of Contempt Case

(Under Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Court Act)

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 6939 of 2012

Between:

- 1) Badvel Nagamuni Reddy S/o.B.Peddamuni Reddy,
aged 66 years, R/o.D.No.26/1010, Mittamadi
Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 2) Badvel Ramalakshamma, W/o. B.Sambasiva Reddy,
aged about 44 years, R/c.D.No.26/921-5, Rameswararam,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 3) Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, S/o.Subba Reddy, aged about
47 years, R/o.D.No.26/1077, Rameswaram, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
- 4) Badenaboina Krishnaiah, S/o.B.Gurrappa, aged about
47 years, D.No.5/95, Gokulam Nagar, Near Housing
Board, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 5) Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy S/o. Late Nageswara Reddy
aged about 38 years, R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District. ..Petitioners/Petitioners

and

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand,
Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati.
2. K.Ramachandra Mohan,
Commissioner, Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada, Krishna District.

3. G.Mallikarjun Prasad,
Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Padmavathi Nagar, Rajampet BY-pass Road,
Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District.

4. A. Sreedhar,
Executive Officer, Sri. Mukthi Ramalingeswara
Swamy Temple Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
YSR Kadapa District.

Respondents/Respondents

The address for service of all notices etc., on the above named petitioners is that of his counsel K.Mohan Rami Reddy, Advocate, r/o 2-332/2 Undavalli village, Tadepalli Mandal, Guntur District.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to summon the respondents herein before this Hon'ble Court and to punish them for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the orders passed in W.P.No. 6939 of 2012 dt. 20-8-2024, and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

AMARAVATI

Dated: 29-4-2025



COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 6939 of 2012

Between:

- 1) Badvel Nagamuni Reddy S/o.B.Peddamuni Reddy,
aged 55 years, R/o.D.No.26/1010, Mittamadi
Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 2) Badvel Ramalakshamma, W/o. B.Sambasiva Reddy,
aged about 53 years, R/o.D.No.26/921-5, Rameswararam,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 3) Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, S/o.Subba Reddy, aged about
45 years, R/o.D.No.26/1077, Rameswaram, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
- 4) Badenaboina Krishnaiah, S/o.B.Gurrappa, aged about
47 years, D.No.5/95, Gokulam Nagar, Near Housing
Board, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
- 5) Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy S/o. Late Nageswara Reddy
aged about 38 years, R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District. ..Petitioners/Petitioners

and

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand,
Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati.
2. K.Ramachandra Mohan,
Commissioner, Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
3. G.Mallikarjun Prasad,
Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Padmavathi Nagar, Rajampet BY-pass Road,
Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District.

4. A. Sreedhar,
Executive Officer, Sri. Mukthi Ramalingeswara
Swamy Temple Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
YSR Kadapa District. Respondents/Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy S/o.Late Nageswara Reddy aged about 38 years, R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District, having temporarily come down to Hyderabad do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows -

1. I am the 5th Petitioner herein and I am well acquainted with the facts of the case. I am authorized to depose to this affidavit on behalf of other petitioners with their consent and instructions to do so.

1) I am the petitioner herein and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.

2) I submit that the petitioners herein filed W.P.No. 6939 of 2012, seeking a directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 28-2-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the A.P. Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No. 336-A belonging to the Second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.2-50 cents in S.No.250 belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the fifth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal.

3) It is submitted that this Hon'ble Court after hearing the arguments was pleased to dispose of the said W.P.No. 6939 of 2012 on 20-8-2024 with the following order:

"Considering the submissions of both the counsels, the respondents are directed to consider the representations of the petitioners dt. 14-8-2008 and 20-9-2008 and pass orders within a period of four (4) months from the date of receipt of copy of this order"

4) It is submitted that subsequent to the above said orders, the petitioners herein have communicated the orders to the respondents with a request to comply with the said orders. The respondents knowing fully well about the specific time granted by this Hon'ble Court to pass orders on the representations dt. 14-8-2008 and 20-9-2008 kept silent and they have not moved an inch even after the repeated personal visits made by the petitioners. Finally the petitioners herein also submitted a written representation on 2-4-2025, but in vain. The respondents being the authorities representing the State are duty bound to honour and implement the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court but in the instant case, even after lapse of more than the period specific in the order, no response is forthcoming and this attitude of the respondents shows that they have no respect towards the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court.

5) It is submitted that the Law of contempt of Courts is for keeping the administration of justice pure and undefiled. While dignity of the court is to be maintained at all costs, the contempt jurisdiction, which is of a special nature, should be sparingly used. Any willful disobedience to the orders of the court to do or abstain from doing any act or breach of any undertaking given to the

6

-4-

court is prima-facie constitute an offence punishable under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. In the instant case, the respondent has flouted the said orders wantonly and rendered themselves liable for punishment.

6) Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to summon the respondents herein before this Hon'ble Court and to punish them for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the orders passed in W.P.No. 5939 of 2012 dt. 20-8-2024, and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Sworn and signed before me on
this the day of April, 2025
at Amaravati.

DEPONENT

Advocate/Amaravati

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy S/o. Late Nageswara Reddy aged about 38 years, R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District, do hereby declare that what has been stated in the above paras filed in support of Contempt Case are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and also based on legal advice given to me.

Verified at Amaravati on this the day of April, 2025

ADVOCATE

DEPONENT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO



WRIT PETITION NO: 6939 OF 2012

Between:

1. Badvel Nagamuni Reddy, Kadapa District & 8 OTH, S/o. B. Peddamuni Reddy, R/o. D.No. 26/1010, Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. Badvel Munisekhar Reddy, S/c. B. Peddamuni Reddy, R/o. Rameswaram Water Tank, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3. Badvel Muni Reddy, S/o. B. Peddamuni Reddy, R/o. D.No. 28/385, Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4. Badvel Ramalakshamma, W/c. B. Sambasiva Reddy, R/o. D.No. 26/921-5, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, S/o. Subba Reddy, R/o. D.No. 26/1077, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Gopu Venkata Subbaiah, S/o. G. Subbaiah, R/o. D.No. 7/426-4, Near Ushodaya High School, Co-Op Colony, Proddatur.
7. Baelaboina Krishnaiah, S/o. B. Gurrappa, D.No. 5/95, Gokulam Nagar, Near Housing Board, Proddatur.
8. Varikuti Nagireddy, S/o. V. Tirupala Reddy, R/o. D.No. 26/1080, Rameswaram, Proddatur.
9. Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy, s/o. Late Nageswara Reddy, R/o. D.No. 28/33, Rameswaram, Proddatur.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. Prl Secy, Revenue (ENDOWMENTS) DEPT, HYDERABAD & 3 OTH, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue (Endowments) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department, Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
4. Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rep. by its Executive Officer, Rameswaram, Proddatur Town, Kadapa District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 28-2-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the A.P. Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belong to Second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No. 336-A belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddaturmandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal and pass

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 8798 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioners from their lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the Second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No. 336-A which is in possession of the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 which is in possession of the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddaturmandal, Kadapa District pending Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(s):SRI. M N NARASIMHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3 : GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No.4 : SRO M SRINIVAS RAO (SC for ENDOWMENTS)

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3310]

TUESDAY THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 6939/2012

Between:

Badvel Nagamuni Reddy, Kadapa District & 8 Oth and ...PETITIONER(S)
Others

AND

Pri Secy Revenue Endowments Dept Hyderabad 3 Oth ...RESPONDENT(S)
and Others

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.M N NARASIMHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.V T M PRASAD

2.GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
seeking the following relief:

"... to issue a writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 28.02.2008, 20.09.2008 and the legal notice dated 13.09.2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82(2) of the A.P

Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 in respect of the lands 1) an extent of Ac.0.65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the first petitioner 2) an extent of Ac.0.65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to second petitioner 3) an extent of Ac.0.75 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the third petitioner 4) an extent of Ac.1.25 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the fourth petitioner 5) an extent of Ac.2.50 cents in S.No.250 belonging to the fifth petitioner 6) an extent of Ac.1.30 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the sixth petitioner 7) an extent of Ac.1.36 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the seventh petitioner 8) an extent of Ac.1.25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the eighth petitioner 9) an extent of Ac.1.25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal"

2. Heard Mr M.N.Narasimha Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments appearing for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Mr M.Srinivas Rao, learned Standing Counsel appearing for Respondent No.4.

3. On hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter is squarely covered by the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.5132 of 2012, dated 20.08.2024, and hence, requests this Court to pass similar order in this petition also.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments appearing for the respondents opposed for grant of any relief in the writ petition and prayed to dismiss the same.

5. In view of the submission made by both the learned counsels and upon perusing the entire material available on record, this Court deems fit to dispose of the present Writ Petition in terms of the said order.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is also disposed of in terms of the order passed in W.P.No.5132 of 2012, dated 20.08.2024, directing the respondents to consider the representations of the petitioners dated 28.02.2008 and 20.09.2008 and pass appropriate orders within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K TATARAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The PriSecy, Revenue (ENDOWMENTS) DEPT, HYDERABAD, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue (Endowments) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department, Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
4. Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rep. by its Executive Officer, Rameswaram, Proddatur Town, Kadapa District.
5. One CC to SRI. M N Narasimha Reddy Advocate [OPUC]
6. TWO CCS TO GP FOR Endowments, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
7. One CC to SRI M. SRINIVASA RAO, SC for ENDOWMENTS [OPUC]
8. Three CD Copies

RJV


12
HIGH COURT

KJV

DATED:20/08/2024

ORDER

WP.No.6939 of 2012



DISPOSING THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA
PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

W.P.No. 6939 of 2012

Between :

1. Badvel Nagamuni Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddami Reddy, aged 53 years,
R/o.D.No.25/1010,
Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
2. Badvel Munisekhar Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddami Reddy, aged 35 years,
R/o.Rameswaram Water Tank Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
3. Badvel Muni Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddami Reddy, aged 68 years,
R/o.D.No.28/385,
Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
4. Badvel Ramalakshamma,
W/o. B.Sambasiva Reddy, aged about 41 years,
R/o.D.No.26/921-5, Rameswararam
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, S/o.Subba Reddy,
aged about 34 years,
R/o.D.No.26/1077,
Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
6. Gopu Venkata Subbaiah, S/o.G.Subbaiah,
aged about 45 years, R/o.D.No.7/426-4,
Near Ushodaya High School, Co-Op Colony,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Baenaboina Krishnaiah, S/o.B.Gurrappa,
aged about 33 years, D.No.5/95, Gokulam Nagar,
Near Housing Board, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
8. Varikuti Nagireddy, S/o.V.Tirupala Reddy,
aged 68 years, R/o.D.No.26/1080
Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.

DEPONENT.

9. Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy
S/o.Late Nageswara Reddy
aged about 25 years,
R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram, Proddatur.,
Kadapa district. Petitioners

and

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Represented by its Principal Secretary to
Government, Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department
Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road, Hyderabad
3. The Assistant Commissioner of
Endowments, Kadapa
Kadapa District.
4. Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple
Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
Kadapa District, represented by its
Executive Officer. Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT OF THE EIGHT PETITIONER

I, Varikuti Nagireddy, S/o.V.Tirupala Reddy, aged 68 years, R/o.D.No.26/1080, Rameswaram Proddatur,Kadapa District, having temporarily come down to Hyderabad do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows -

1. I am the eighth Petitioner herein and I am well acquainted with the facts of the case. The grievance of all the petitioners being one and the same and on authorization I beg to file this affidavit on behalf of all the petitioners. The issue in dispute being common to all single Writ Petition is filed by paying individual court fee.

DEPONENT.

Page : 9 :

2. The lands in S.Nos 336-A, 250 and 323 of Sommulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District are owned by Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rameswaram Village, Proddatur. For the last 30 years or even more than that, the petitioners and their predecessors are continuing as lessees of the temple by raising paddy, bajra etc and at present they are growing fodder and selling to dairies at present. They have dug bore wells, obtained power connection to water the fodder crops. Even now, there is a standing crop. Each petitioner is in possession of small extents of land (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A is in possession of the second petitioner (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A is in possession of the third petitioner (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.336-A is in possession of the fourth petitioner (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 cents in S.No. 250 is in possession of the fifth petitioner (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 is in possession of the sixth petitioner (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 is in possession of the seventh petitioner (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 is in possession of the eighth petitioner (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 is in possession of the ninth petitioner. All the lands are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District.

DEPONENT.

3. It is submitted that the leases were being extended from time to time and the petitioners were paying the lease amounts regularly. Now the municipal area of Proddatur Municipality is extended, covering the surrounding villages. The revenue village Somulavaripalli in which these lands are situated is also included within the municipal limits. The value of the lands increased. The Trust Board of the Temple intended to sell the lands. The leases are not renewed after 31-3-2008, though the possession is with petitioners. The lease amounts sent by way of demand drafts are returned. The petitioners are landless poor persons as defined under the Act No.30 of 1937.

4. The petitioners submitted individual representations on 15-10-2007 and through an advocate on 6-2-2008 expressing their willingness to purchase as contemplated under Section 82 of the Act. The letter of the Advocate is returned on 26-2-2008 stating that the lands are leased out for grazing purpose and they are situated in municipal limits. The petitioners made another representation on 28-2-2008 and approached this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.5327 of 2008 seeking directions to the Assistant Commissioner of Endowments Kadapa to conduct the enquiry with regard to the status of the petitioners as landless poor persons. While disposing of the Writ Petition in admission stage on 19-4-2008 the Hon'ble Court is pleased to direct the Assistant Commissioner of Endowments to consider the

DEPONENT.

representation dated 28-2-2008 and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper appropriate orders as provided for under Section 82 of the Endowments Act within a period of one week. (Ex.P-1 is the judgment in W.P.No.5327 of 2008 dated 19-4-2008)

5. The petitioners made individual representations to Respondents 3 and 4 on 20-9-2008 to declare them as landless poor persons and consider the proposed sale of lands in their favour. (Ex.P-2 to P-10 are the representations dated 20-9-2008). None of the representations are considered and no enquiry is conducted as observed by this Hon 'ble Court in W.P.No.5327 of 2008.

6. It is submitted that the petitioners issued legal notice through their advocate on 15-2-2010 (Ex.P-11 is the notice dated 15-2-2010). The respondents have denied the rights of petitioners and issued reply notice through their advocate on 15-4-2010 (Ex.P-12 is the reply notice dated 15-4-2010). The respondents admit that they intend to sell away the lands in public auction for house sites. The second respondent had even directed the third respondent to prepare layouts by 25-8-2011 in their letter dated 18-8-2011. (Ex.P-13 is the letter dated 18-8-2011). It is submitted that the proposed auction of lands is in utter violation of court directions in W.P.No.5327 of 2008. Before proceeding with the auction, the status of the petitioners whether they are small farmers or not is to be determined, to consider

their claims to purchase the lands in their possession. It is a beneficial legislation. It is obligatory on the part of the respondents to consider the status of the tenants and allow them to purchase. The proposals to put the lands in public auction is thus arbitrary and illegal.

7. It is submitted that the petitioners live by agriculture. They are the tenants of the Temple for the last 30 years or even more than that. The temple lands are situated in Somulavaripalli Village. By the time the petitioners entered into agreements, these lands were in Gram Panchayat. Though the village Somulavaripalli is now included in Proddatur Municipality the lands are being used for agriculture. There is no conversion of these lands from agriculture. As the petitioners are in possession for more than six years continuously much prior to the inclusion of these lands in Municipality, they are entitled for the benefits under Section 82 (2) of the Act. They are the landless poor persons and got the right to purchase. It is submitted that there is no dispute that the lands in possession of the petitioners are agricultural lands. Grazing purpose is also part of agriculture. Basing on possession and enjoyment of these lands, the petitioners claim that they are landless poor persons. Without considering their status, they cannot be deprived of their rights under Section 82 (2) of the Act.

8. The petitioners issued legal notice on 13-9-2011. There is no response for it. (Ex.P-14 is the notice dated 13-9-2011)

9. The petitioners submit that they have no other alternative and effective remedy except to approach this Hon 'ble Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

DEPONENT.

10. The petitioners submit that they have not filed any writ or initiated any proceedings earlier except W.P.No.5327 of 2008.

11. It is submitted that in the circumstances stated above it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 28-2-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the A.P. Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belong to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 cents in S.No.250 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

DEPONENT.

12. It is submitted that, the petitioners are in possession of their respective lands and there is a standing fodder crop. If the petitioners are dispossessed, they would be put to irreparable injury and hardship. Hence it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioners from their lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0- 65 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.336-A which is in possession of the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 cents in S.No.250 which is in possession of the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 which is in possession of the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District pending Writ Petition and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

Solemnly affirm and signed
on this 12th day of February, 2012
at Hyderabad.

DEPONENT.

Before me,

Advocate, Hyderabad.

21

Page : 15 :

VERIFICATION

I, Varikati Nagireddy, S/o.V.Tirupala Reddy, aged 68 years,
R/o.D.No.26/1080, Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District, do hereby
solemnly affirm and state that the facts mentioned in paras 1 to 10 are
true to my knowledge and information and paras 11 and 12 are on legal
advice and I have not suppressed any material facts.

Hyderabad.
Date : 12-2-2012

DEPONENT.

Counsel for the petitioners.

16
EXP-1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
SATURDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHT

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALAKRISHNA TAMADA

Writ Petition No.5327 of 2008

Between:

1. Badvel Nagamuni Reddy, s/o B. Peddamuni Reddy,
Door No.26/1010, Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. Badvel Munisekhar Reddy, s/o B. Eshwar Reddy,
Door No., Rameswaram Water Tank Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3. Badvel Muni Reddy, s/o B. Peddamuni Reddy,
Door No.28/385, Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4. Badvel Ramalakshamma, w/o B. Sambasiva Reddy,
Door No.26/921-5, Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, w/o Subba Reddy,
Door No.26/1077 Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Gopu Venkata Subbaiah, w/o G. Subbaiah,
Door No.7/426-4 Near Ushodaya High School, Coop Colony,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Baenaboina Krishnaiah, s/o B. Gurrappa,
Door No.5/95 Gokulam Nagar, Near Housing Board,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
8. Varikuti Nagireddy, s/o V. Tirupala Reddy,
Door No.26/1080, Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.
9. Mulepaku Nageswara Reddy, s/o M. Nagi Reddy,
Door No.28/33, Rameswaram Proddatur, Kadapa District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2. Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple Rameswaram,
Proddatur Town, Kadapa District, rep. by its Executive Officer.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction preferably one in the nature of writ of *mandamus* declaring the action of respondent in rejecting the claims of the petitioners for declaring them as landless poor persons without conducting any enquiry as prescribed under the Rules as illegal void and consequently direct respondent No.1 to conduct enquiry with regard to the status of the petitioners as landless poor persons as per Endowments Act & Rules made thereunder and to pass such other orders.

Memorandum of Writ Petition
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

W.P.No. of 2012

Between :

1. Badvel Nagamuni Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddamuni Reddy, aged 53 years,
R/o.D.No 26/1010,
Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
2. Badvel Munisekhar Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddamuni Reddy, aged 35 years,
R/o.Rameswaram Water Tank Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
3. Badvel Muni Reddy,
S/o.B.Peddamuni Reddy, aged 68 years,
R/o.D.Nc.28/385,
Mittamadi Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
4. Badvel Ramalakshamma,
W/o. B.Sambasiva Reddy, aged about 41 years,
R/o.D.Nc.26/921-5, Rameswararam
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Palleti Srinivasa Reddy, S/o.Subba Reddy,
aged about 34 years,
R/o.D.No.26/1077, Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
6. Gopu Venkata Subbaiah, S/o.G.Subbaiah,
aged about 45 years, R/o.D.No.7/426-4,
Near Ushodaya High School, Co-Op Colony,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Bannaboina Krishnaiah, S/o.E.Gurrappa,
aged about 33 years, D.No.5/95, Gokulam Nagar,
Near Housing Board, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
8. Varikuti Nagireddy, S/o.V.Tirupala Reddy,
aged 68 years, R/o.D.No.26/1080
Rameswaram Proddatur,
Kadapa District.

9. Mulepaku Maheswara Reddy
S/o.Late Nageswara Reddy
aged about 25 years,
R/o D.No.28/33 Rameswaram, Proddatur.,
Kadapa district. Petitioners

and
1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Represented by its Principal Secretary to
Government, Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department
Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road, Hyderabad
3. The Assistant Commissioner of
Endowments, Kadapa
Kadapa District.
4. Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple
Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
Kadapa District, represented by its
Executive Officer. ... Respondents.

The address for service of all notices on the above named petitioners is that of their counsel M/s. M.N.Narasimha Reddy & G.Ramachandra Reddy, advocates, 9 Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad – 66.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petitioners herein pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 28-2-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the A.P.Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.336-A belonging

to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No 336-A belong to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-75 cents in S.No.336-A belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No..336-A belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.2-50 cents in S.No.250 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-30 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.1-36 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the eight petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.1-25 cents in S.No.323 belonging to the ninth petitioner which are situated in Somulavaripalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

Hyderabad
Date : 13-2-2012

Counsel for the Petitioners.

KADAPA DISTRICT
IN THE HIGH COURT OF
JUDICATUTE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT
HYDERABAD

W.P.No. 6939 of 2012

WRIT PETITION

Filed on : 6-2-2012

Filed by :

M/s.M.N.NARASIMHA REDDY(626)
G.RAMACHANDRA REDDY(345)

Counsel for the Petitioners.

తేది 02.04.2025

మహారాజశ్రీ గౌరవనీయులైన డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ ఎండోమెంట్ ఆఫీసర్, దేవాదాయ శాఖ
వై.యస్.ఆర్. కడప జిల్లా కడప వారి దివ్యసముఖమునకు

సదరు జిల్లా ప్రొద్దుటూరు టౌన్లో వెలసియున్న శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామి
దేవస్థానమునకు చెందిన భూములను కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటున్న ఈ క్రింత సంతకములు
చేసిన వారము నమస్కరించి సమర్పించుకుంటున్న వినతి పత్రము.

ఆర్యా,

విషయము-ప్రొద్దుటూరు శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామికి చెందిన సోములవారిపల్లె
పంచాయతి రామేశ్వరం గ్రామ పొలం భూములను కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటున్న
వారిని భూమి లేని నిరుపేదలుగా పరిగణించి రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు రిట్ పిటిషన్
నెం. 6939/2012లో జారీచేసిన ఉత్తర్వుల మేరకు కౌలు చేసుకుంటున్న
వారికి విక్రయించవలసినదిగా అభ్యర్థన.

సూచిక- రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు రిట్ పిటిషన్ నెం. 6939/2012లో ఇచ్చిన తుది
తీర్పు తేది 20.8.2024.

తమరికి సవినయముగా మనవి చేసుకోవడమేమనగా మా పూర్వీకుల సుండి
ప్రొద్దుటూరులోని శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామి దేవస్థానం వారికి చెందిన సర్వే నెం. 336-ఎ,
250 మరియు 323 సోములవారిపల్లె పంచాయతి రామేశ్వరం గ్రామ పొలం పొలంలోని
భూములను క్రింద తెలిపిన విధముగా కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటూ, సక్రమముగా కౌలు మొత్తము
చెల్లిస్తూ మేము జీవిస్తున్నాము.

- | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| 1) బద్వేలు నాగమునిరెడ్డి | ఎ.0.65 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 336-ఎ |
| 2) బద్వేలు భారతి భర్త కీ.శే.మునిశేఖర్రెడ్డి | ఎ.0.65 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 336-ఎ |
| 3) బద్వేలు పుల్లమ్మ భర్త కీ.శే. మునిరెడ్డి | ఎ.0.75 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 336-ఎ |
| 4) బద్వేలు రామలక్ష్మమ్మ | ఎ.1.25 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 336-ఎ |

- | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 5) పల్లెటి శ్రీనివాసరెడ్డి | ఎ.2.50 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 250 |
| 6) గోపు ఇందిర | | |
| భర్త కీ.శే. వెంకటసుబ్బయ్య | ఎ.1.30 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 323 |
| 7) బత్తనబోయిన క్రిష్ణయ్య | ఎ.1.36 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 323 |
| 8) వరికూటి శివశంకర్ రెడ్డి | | |
| తండ్రి కీ.శే.నాగిరెడ్డి | ఎ.1.25 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 323 |
| 9) మూలపాకు మహేశ్వరరెడ్డి | ఎ.1.25 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 323 |

తమరికి మనవి చేసుకోవడమేమనగా మాకు మంజూరు చేసిన కౌలు కాలము ముగిసిన వెంటనే మరలా రెన్యూవల్ చేసుకుంటూ యున్నాము. అక్రమములో మాకు మంజూరు చేసిన కౌలు గడువు 2008లో ముగిసిన వెంటనే మరలా మాకు కౌలు రెన్యూవల్ చేయకుండా మేము సాగు చేసుకుంటున్న భూములను బహిరంగ వేలమునకు ప్రకటించినారు. వెంటనే 28.2.2008 మరియు 20.9.2008వ తేదీలలో దేవస్థాన అధికారులకు లేఖ వ్రాస్తూ మేము భూమిలేని నిరుపేదలము అయినందున, యీ భూమిపై ఆధారపడి వున్నామని, మాకు విక్రయించవలసినదిగా అభ్యర్థించియున్నాము. దేవస్థాన అధికారుల నుండి ఏలాంటి స్పందన లేనందున మేము 13.9.2011వ తేదీన లీగల్ నోటీసు పంపినాము. అయిననూ దేవస్థాన అధికారుల నుండి మా నోటీసుకు ఏలాంటి స్పందన రానందున, గత్యంతరము లేని పరిస్థితులలో మేమందరము వుమ్మడిగా రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టులో 6939/2012 నెంబరుగా రిట్ పిటిషన్ దాఖలు చేస్తూ మాకు న్యాయము సమకూర్చువలసినదిగా ప్రార్థించియున్నాము. ఇప్పటికి సదరు దేవస్థానము భూములు మా అనుభవములోనే వున్నవి.

రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టువారు వాది ప్రతివాదుల వాదనలు కూలంకషముగా ఆలకించిన తరువాత మేము మేము సాగు చేసుకుంటున్న భూములను బహిరంగ వేలమునకు ప్రకటించినారు. తదుపరి 28.2.2008 మరియు 20.9.2008వ తేదీలలో దేవస్థాన అధికారులకు మేము సమర్పించిన అభ్యర్థనలను మరియు లీగల్ నోటీసులను పరిగణనలోకి తీసుకొని మాకు తగు ఉత్తర్వులను రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారి తీర్పు ముట్టిన 4 నెలల లోపల మంజూరు చేయవలసినదిగా సదరు దావాలో తమరిని ఆదేశించియున్నారు.

కానీ రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేసి నాలుగు నెలలు పూర్తి అయినా కూడా ఖాతరుచేయకుండా ఏలాంటి ఉత్తర్వులు మంజూరు చేయకుండా నిర్లిప్తంగా వుంటున్నారు.

కావున తమరు ఇప్పటికైనా స్పందించి రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారి ఆదేశాల మేరకు తగు ఉత్తర్వులు వెంటనే మంజూరు చేసి మా భూమిపై ఆధారపడిన ఘమ్ము ఆదుకోవలసినదిగా ప్రార్థిస్తున్నాము.

ఇట్లు,

తమ పిదోయులు (కొలుదూరులు)

1) బద్వేలు నాగమునిరెడ్డి

B. Nagamuni Reddy

2) బద్వేలు భారతి భర్త కీ.శే.మునిశేఖర్రెడ్డి

B. భారతి భర్త కీ.శే.మునిశేఖర్రెడ్డి

3) బద్వేలు పుల్లమ్మ భర్త కీ.శే. మునిరెడ్డి

B. పుల్లమ్మ భర్త కీ.శే. మునిరెడ్డి

4) బద్వేలు రామలక్ష్మమ్మ B రామలక్ష్మమ్మ

5) పల్లెటి శ్రీనివాసరెడ్డి

M. Srinivas Reddy

6) గోపు ఇందిర భర్త కీ.శే. వెంకటసుబ్బయ్య

G. Indira

7) బద్వేలు రామలక్ష్మమ్మ భర్త కీ.శే. మునిరెడ్డి

B. Ramalakshmi Reddy

8) వరికూటి శివశంకర్రెడ్డి

తండ్రి కీ.శే.నాగిరెడ్డి V. Sankar Reddy (V. Sankar Reddy)

9) మూలేపాకు మహేశ్వరరెడ్డి

M. Maheswar Reddy

ఇందువెంట రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టు వారి తీర్పు ఉత్తర్వుల నకలు తమరి పరిశీలనకు సమర్పించడమైనది.

ఇది సమర్పించబడినది

Received copy
for E. Curator
JA
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SRI MUKTHI RAMA LINGESWARA
SWAMY TEMPLE,
RAMESWARAM, PRODDATUR TOWN
KANDAPPA (DIST)

Dated 02.04.2025

To
The Hon'ble District Endowments Officer,
Endowments Department,
Y.S.R. District,
Kadapa.

28

Respected Sir,

Sub:-Request to consider the tenants of the lands situated in Somulavaripalle Panchayat Rameshwaram village fields belonging to Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Proddatur as landless and destitute and request is to sell the lands to the tenants as per the orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 6939/2012.

Ref:- Final Judgement delivered on 20.8.2024 by the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in the W.P.No.6939/2012

....

We, the undersigned, with respectful obeisance, submit that we are tenants of the lands situated in Ramewaram Village fields, Somulavaripalle Panchayat belonging to the Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple Proddatur town are submitting this petition.

We humbly submit that we are living on the lands of the Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple Proddatur, situated in Survey No. 336-A, 250 and 323 of Somulavaripalle Panchayat Rameswaram village fields as given below and paying the rent regularly.

S.No.	Name	Extent Acs. Cent s	Survey Number
1	Badvelu Nagamuni Reddy	0.65	336-A
2	Badvelu Bharathi, W/o. Late Munisekhar Reddy	0.65	336-A
3	Badvelu Pullamma, W/o. Late Muni Reddy	0.75	336-A

4	Badvelu Ramalakshumma	1.25	336-A
5	Palleti Sreenivasa Reddy	2.50	250
6	Gopi Indira W/o. Late Venkata Subbaiah	1.30	323
7	Bathanaboina Krishnaiah	1.36	323
8	Varikooti Shivasankar Reddy S/o. Late Nagi Reddy	1.25	323
9	Moolepaku Maheswara Reddy	1.25	323

We submit that immediately after expiry of the lease we are obtaining renewal of the lease granted to us i. As soon as the lease granted to us expired in 2008, the land we were cultivating was declared for public auction without renewing the lease. Immediately, we wrote to the temple authorities on 28.2.2008 and 20.9.2008, requesting that the land be sold to us as we were landless and destitute and dependent on this land. Since there was no response from the temple authorities, we sent a legal notice on 13.9.2011. However, since there was no response to our notice from the temple authorities, in the circumstances of no other option, we all filed a writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh High Court vide W.P.No. 6939/2012, praying that justice be done to us. Till now, the said temple lands are in our possession and enjoyment.

After carefully hearing the arguments of the plaintiffs and defendants, the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, has declared the lands under our cultivation for public auction. Subsequently, taking into account the representations submitted by us and legal notices submitted by us to the temple authorities on 28.2.2008 and 20.9.2008, the Hon'ble High Court of

Andhra Pradesh has issued directions to the temple authorities to grant us appropriate orders within 4 months from the date of the judgment.

But even after four months of issuing the orders by the Hon'ble court, it is still not paying any attention and is not granting any orders and is aloof and silent.

Therefore, we pray that you will respond now and immediately grant appropriate orders as per the orders of the Hon'ble court and help us who are dependent on these lands.

Yours faithfully,

S.No.	Name	Signature
1	Badvelu Nagamuni Reddy	Sd/- x x x
2	Badvelu Bharathi, W/o. Late Munisekhar Reddy	Sd/- x x x
3	Badvelu Pullamma, W/o. Late Muni Reddy	Sd/- x x x
4	Badvelu Ramalakshamma	Sd/- x x x
5	Palleti Sreenivasa Reddy	Sd/- x x x
6	Gopi Indira W/o. Late Venkata Subbaiah	Sd/- x x x
7	Bathanaboina Krishnaiah	Sd/- x x x
8	Varikooti Shivasankar Reddy S/o. Late Nagi Reddy	Sd/- x x x
9	Moolepaku Maheswara Reddy	Sd/- x x x

Encls: Copy of the Judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh for favour of perusal.

The copy submitted to the Executive Officer, Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Proddatur.

YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE
STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI


C.C.No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 6939 of 2012

Endow

CONTEMPT CASE



Filed by:

K.Mohan Rami Reddy (487)
Advocate

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS

9247175559
35

Acknowledgement No.:



REV070233490

Tuesday, Apr 29, 2025 10:55:41 AM

APOLCMS