

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in

W.P. No. 5132 of 2012

RUNNING INDEX

Sl. No	Exhibit	Description of Document	Date of Document	Date of filing of Document	Page Nos.
1.		Contempt petition and affidavit	27-4-2025	28-4-2025	1-7
2.		Copy of the order in W.P.No. 5132 of 2012	20-8-2024	-do-	8-16
3.		Copy of the affidavit and petition in W.P.No. 5132 of 2012		-do-	17-27
4.		Copy of the representation with translation	2-4-2025	-do-	28-33
5.		Vakalat			34

AMARAVATI

Dated -4-2025


COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS

Memorandum of Contempt Case

(Under Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Court Act)

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 5132 of 2012

Between:

- 1. Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o. P. Rami Reddy,
aged about 43 years, Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 28/518, Rameswaram. Proddatur,
Kadapa District.**
- 2. Madem Gurivi Reddy, S/o.M. Subba Reddy,
aged about 43 years. Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 28/313. Rameswaram, Proddatur,
Kadapa District**
- 3. Madem Prabhakara Reddy, S/o.M. Gurivi Reddy,
aged about 55 years. Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 26/989, Rameswaram. Proddatur,
Kadapa District.**
- 4. Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. B. Yella Reddy,
aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 6/791, College Road, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.**
- 5. Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy, s/o. P. Subba Reddy,
aged about 48 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No. 26/1077,
Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.**
- 6. Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy, S/o Late M. Ramakrishna Reddy,
aged about 45 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No.6/250-19E,
Revenue Colony, Behind Veternary Hospital, Proddatur Road,
Mudukur Post, Kadapa District.**
- 7. Palleti Chandrasekhara Reddy s/o P.Muni Reddy
Aged about 62 years, r/o D.No. 26/1076, Rameswaram
Proddatur, Kadapa District.**

8. Koduru Venkateswarlu s/o K.Subbanna, aged about
53 years, occ: Agriculture, r/o D.No. 8/442
Shivaji Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.

..Petitioners/Petitioners

and

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand,
Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati.

2. K.Ramachandra Mohan,
Commissioner, Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada, Krishna District.

3. G.Mallikarjun Prasad,
Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Padmavathi Nagar, Rajampet BY-pass Road,
Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District.

4. A. Sreedhar,
Executive Officer, Sri. Mukthi Ramalingeswara
Swamy Temple Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
YSR Kadapa District.

Respondents/Respondents

The address for service of all notices etc., on the above named
petitioners is that of his counsel K.Mohan Rami Reddy, Advocate, r/o 2-332/2
Undavalli village, Tadepalli Mandal, Guntur District.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is prayed that
this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to summon the respondents herein before
this Hon'ble Court and to punish them for wilfully and deliberately disobeying
the orders passed in W.P.No. 5132 of 2012 dt. 20-8-2024, and to pass such
other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the
circumstances of the case.

AMARAVATI

Dated: 28-4-2025



COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS

3

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

Contempt Case No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 5132 of 2012

Between:

1. Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o. P. Rami Reddy,
aged about 43 years, Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 28/518, Rameswaram. Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
2. Madem Gurivi Reddy, S/o.M. Subba Reddy,
aged about 43 years. Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 28/313. Rameswaram, Proddatur,
Kadapa District
3. Madem Prabhakara Reddy, S/o.M. Gurivi Reddy,
aged about 55 years. Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 26/989, Rameswaram. Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
4. Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. B. Yella Reddy,
aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture,
r/o D.No. 6/791, College Road, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
5. Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy, s/o. P. Subba Reddy,
aged about 48 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No. 26/1077,
Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy, S/o Late M. Ramakrishna Reddy,
aged about 44 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No.6/250-19E,
Revenue Colony, Behind Veterinary Hospital, Proddatur Road,
Mudukur Post, Kadapa District.
7. Palleti Chandrasekhara Reddy s/o P.Muni Reddy
Aged about 60 years, r/o D.No. 26/1076, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
8. Koduru Venkateswarlu s/o K.Subbanna, aged about
53 years, occ: Agriculture, r/o D.No. 8/442
Shivaji Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District. ..Petitioners/Petitioners

4

and

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand,
Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments Department)
A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati.
 2. K.Ramachandra Mohan,
Commissioner, Endowments Department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
 3. G.Mallikarjun Prasad,
Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Padmavath Nagar, Rajampet BY-pass Road,
Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District.
 4. A. Sreedhar,
Executive Officer, Sri. Mukthi Ramalingeswara
Swamy Temple Rameswararam, Proddatur Town,
YSR Kadapa District.
- Respondents/Responcdents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. B. Yella Reddy, aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No. 6/791, College Road, Proddatur, Kadapa District, having temporarily come down to Amaravati, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows -

I am the 4th Petitioner herein and I am well acquainted with the facts of the case. I am authorized to depose to this affidavit on behalf of other petitioners with their consent and instructions to do so.

- 1) I am the petitioner herein and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.

2) I submit that the petitioners herein filed W.P.No. 5132 of 2012, seeking a directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 14.04.2008, 20.09.2008 and the legal notice dated 13.09.2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to The benefits of Section 82(2) of the A.P. Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-50 Cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to first petitioner, (2) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac. 1-00 cents in 6. No.316 belonging to the forth petitioner (5) an extent of Ac. 1-00 cents in S.No. 316 belonging to the fifth petitioner (6) an extent of Ac. 0-50 cents in No.316 belonging to the sixth petitioner (7) an extent of Ac. 0-50 cents S.No.316 belonging to the seventh petitioner (8) an extent of Ac.1-00 ents in S.No.316 belonging to the eighth petitioner, all are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal

3) It is submitted that this Hon'ble Court after hearing the arguments was pleased to dispose of the said W.P.No. 5132 of 2012 on 20-8-2024 with the following order:

"Considering the submissions of both the counsels, the respondents are directed to consider the representations of the petitioners dt. 14-8-2008 and 20-9-2008 and pass orders within a period of four (4) months from the date of receipt of copy of this order"

4) It is submitted that subsequent to the above said orders, the petitioners herein have communicated the orders to the respondents with a request to comply with the said orders. The respondents knowing fully well

about the specific time granted by this Hon'ble Court to pass orders on the representations dt. 14-8-2008 and 20-9-2008 kept silent and they have not moved an inch even after the repeated persona visits made by the petitioners. Finally the petitioners herein also submitted a written representation on 2-4-2025, but in vain. The respondents being the authorities representing the State are duty bound to honour and implement the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court but in the instant case, even after lapse of more than the period specific in the order, no response is forthcoming and this attitude of the respondents shows that they have no respect towards the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court.

5) It is submitted that the Law of contempt of Courts is for keeping the administration of justice pure and undefiled. While dignity of the court is to be maintained at all costs, the contempt jurisdiction, which is of a special nature, should be sparingly used. Any willful disobedience to the orders of the court to do or abstain from doing any act or breach of any undertaking given to the court is prima-facie constitute an offence punishable under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. In the instant case, the respondent has flouted the said orders wantonly and rendered themselves liable for punishment.

6) Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to summon the respondents herein before this Hon'ble Court and to punish them for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the orders passed in W.P.No. 5132 of 2012 dt. 20-8-2024, and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Sworn and signed before me on
this the day of April, 2025
at Amaravati.

DEPONENT

Advocate/Amaravati

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. B. Yella Reddy, aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture, r/o D.No. 6/791, College Road, Proddatur, Kadapa District, do hereby declare that what has been stated in the above paras filed in support of Contempt Case are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and also based on legal advice given to me.

Verified at Amaravati on this the day of April, 2025

ADVOCATE

DEPONENT

8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 5132 OF 2012



Between:

1. Pathakota Veera Reddy, S/o. P. Venkata Reddy, aged about 69 years, Occ. Agriculture R/o. Door No. 26/53-B, Vasanthapeta, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o. P. Rami Reddy, aged about 31 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 28/518, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3. Madem Gurivi Reddy, S/o.M. Subba Reddy, aged about 31 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 28/313, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4. Madem Prabhakara Reddy, S/o.M. Gurivi Reddy, aged about 43 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 26/989, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. B. Yella Reddy, aged about 45 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 6/791, College Road, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy, S/o. P. Subba Reddy, aged about 36 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 26/1077, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy, S/o.Late M. Ramakrishna Reddy, aged about 32 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 6/250-19E, Revenue Colony, Behind Veterinary Hospital, Proddatur Road, Mudukur Post, Kadapa District.

8. Palleti Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o. P. Muni Reddy, aged about 48 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 26/1076, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
9. Mulepapu Nageswara Reddy, S/c.M. Nagr Reddy, aged about 48 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 28/33, Rameswaram, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
10. Koduru Venkateswarlu, S/o.K. Subbanna, aged about 41 years, Occ. Agriculture, Door No. 8/442, Shivaji Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government Revenue (Endowments) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Endowments A.P. Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
4. Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rameshwaram, Proddatur Town, Kadapa District, Rep. by its Executive Officer.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of **WRIT OF MANDAMUS** direct the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 14.04.2008, 20.09.2008 and the legal notice dated 13.09.2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82(2) of the A.P. Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in

S.No.316 belonging to the sixth petitioner (7) an extent of Ac.0 50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the seventh petitioner (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the eighth petitioner (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the tenth petitioner, all are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP. NO: 6535 OF 2012)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioners from their lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of to second petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the sixth petitioner (7) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the seventh petitioner (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the eighth petitioner (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the tenth petitioner. All the lands are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District by declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal pencing writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI M N NARASIMHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI V T M PRASAD

The Court made the following: Order



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3310]

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 5132/2012

Between:

Pathakota Vccra Reddy & 9 Ors. and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

Pri Secy Rev Dept 3 Ors and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. M N NARASIMHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. V T M PRASAD

2. GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for
the following relief:-

*"... to issue a writ, order or direction particularly in
the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondents
to consider the representations of petitioners
dated 14.04.2008, 20.09.2008 and the legal notice dated
13.09.2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the
eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82(2) of*

12

the A.P Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 in respect of the lands 1) an extent of Ac.0.56 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the first petitioner 2) an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1.50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to second petitioner 3) an extent of Ac.0.85 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the third petitioner 4) an extent of Ac.0.65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0.25 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fourth petitioner 5) an extent of Ac.1.00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fifth petitioner 6) an extent of Ac.1.00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the sixth petitioner 7) an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the seventh petitioner 8) an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the eighth petitioner 9) an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the ninth petitioner 10) an extent of Ac.1.00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the tenth petitioner all are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal....

2. The case of the petitioners in brief is that the lands in Sy.Nos.258 and 316 of Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District are owned by Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rameswaram Village, Proddatur for the last 30 years. The petitioners and their predecessors are continuing as lessees of the temple by raising bajra etc., and at present they are growing fodder and selling to dairies at present. They have dug two bore wells, obtained power connection to water the fodder crop. The leases were being extended from time to time and the petitioners were paying the lease amounts regularly. Now, as the municipal area of Proddatur Municipality is extended, covering the surrounding villages, the revenue village, Rameswaram in which these lands are situated is also included within the municipal limits. As the trust board of the temple intended to sell the lands, the leases are not renewed after 31.03.2008, though the petitioners are in

possession of the subject property and the lease amount sent by way of demand drafts are returned. The petitioners made individual representations to Respondent Nos.2 and 3 on 14.04.2008 to declare them as landless poor persons and consider the proposed sale of lands in their favour. As there was no response from the respondent authorities, the petitioners made fresh representations on 20.09.2008. Thereafter, the petitioners issued legal notice on 13.09.2011. But, there was no response from the respondent authorities. Hence, the present Writ Petition is filed.

3. Counter affidavit was filed by the respondents. In the counter affidavit it is stated that after expiry of the lease granted to the petitioners, the executive authority of the temple had made publicity on 04.04.2008 in the Rameswaram Village stating that the lease of the lands given to the highest bidders in the auction held on 13.06.2005 has expired on 31.03.2008, as such no person shall enter into the lands belonging to Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Vari Devasthanam. It is further stated in the counter affidavit that basing on the report given by the Executive Officer of the 4th respondent-temple the office of the Commissioner, Endowments Department in Gudibata, Kadapa on 02.12.2007 has issued proceedings dated 02.12.2007 granting permission to the executive authority to send proposals for sale of the lands measuring Ac.27.29 cents. Thereafter, the Commissioner, Endowments Department has issued notice under Section 80(1) (b) of the Act 30/87 vide Notice in Rc.No.N1/32141/2008, dated 04.09.2008 calling for the objections and suggestions if any in writing and the same was published in the Eenadu

14
Telugu News Daily, dated 13.09.2008. In response to the same, the petitioners have made objections to the Commissioner, Endowments Department. The objections were not considered and the same was communicated to the Principal Secretary, Revenue Endowments Department vide Letter, dated 02.08.2009.

4. Heard Mr M.N.Narasimha Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments appearing for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Mr M.Srinivas Rao, learned Standing Counsel appearing for Respondent No.4.

5. On hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have made representations dated 14.04.2008 and 20.09.2008 to the respondents seeking to declare them as land less poor persons, consider the sale of the subject lands in their favour and further, to stop the proceedings issued in Rc.No N1/32141/2008, dated 04.09.2008. But, the respondents have not considered the said representations. Therefore, learned counsel requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

6. On the other hand, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Endowments appearing for the respondents submits that as the lease granted to the petitioners was expired in the year 2008, the petitioners have no right to claim themselves as landless poor persons under the guise of the representations submitted by them. It is further submitted by the learned

Assistant Government Pleader that the auction notification was not finalized and it is under process.

7. Considering the submissions of both the learned counsels, the respondents are directed to consider the representations of the petitioners dated 14.04.2008 and 20.09.2008 and pass appropriate orders within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- B PRASADA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The Principal Secretary to Government Revenue (Endowments) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Endowments, A.P. Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
4. The Executive Officer, Sri Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rameshwaram, Proddutur Town, Kadapa District,
5. One CC to SRI M N NARASIMHA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR ENDOWMENTS, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
7. One CC to SRI V T M PRASAD Advocate [OPUC]
8. Three CD Copies

HIGH COURT 16

DATED: 20/08/2024

ORDER

WP.No.5132 of 2012



DISPOING THE WRIT PETITION AT NO COSTS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT
HYDERABAD

W.P.No. 5132 of 2012

Between :

1. Pathakota Veera Reddy, S/o.P.Venkata Reddy,
aged about 69 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.Door No.26/53-B, Vasanthapeta,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o.P.Ram Reddy,
aged about 31 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/518, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3. Madem Gurivi Reddy S/o.M.Subba Reddy,
aged about 31 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/313, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4. Madem Prabhakara Reddy, S/o.M.Gurivi Reddy,
aged about 43 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/989, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Badvel Chandrasekhara Reddy S/o.B.Yella Reddy,
aged about 45 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.6/791, College Road,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy S/o.P.Subba Reddy,
Aged about 36 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/1077, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy S/o Late M.Ramakrishna Reddy,
aged about 32 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.6/250-19 E, Revenue Colony,
Behind Veterinary Hospital,
Proddatur Road, Mudukur Post,
Kadapa.
8. Palleti Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o.P.Muri Reddy,
aged about 48 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/1076, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.

DEPONENT.

9. Mulepaku Maheswar Reddy, S/o.Late Nageswar Reddy
aged about 55 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/33, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
10. Koduru Venkateswarlu S/o.K.Subbanna,
Aged about 41 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.8/442, Shivaji Street,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.

... Petitioners

And

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments)
Department, A.P.Secretariat,
Hyderabad
2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department, A.P
Tilak Road, Hyderabad
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Kadapa, Kadapa District.
4. Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple,
Rameshwaram, Proddatur Town,
Kadapa District, Represented by its
Executive Officer.

.....Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT OF THE FIRST PETITIONER

I, Pathakota Veera Reddy, S/c. P.Venkata Reddy, aged 69 years
agriculturist and R/c.D.No.26/53-B, Vasanthapeta, Proddatur Municipality,
Kadapa district having temporarily come down to Hyderabad do hereby
solemnly affirm and state as follows—

1. I am the first Petitioner herein and I am well acquainted
with the facts of the case. The grievance of all the petitioners being one and
the same and on authorization I beg to file this affidavit on behalf of all the
petitioners. The issue in dispute being common to all single Writ Petition is
filed by paying individual court fee.

DEPONENT.

2. The lands in S.Nos 258 and 316 of Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District are owned by Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Rameswaram Village, Proddatur for the last 30 years or even more than that, the petitioners and their predecessors are continuing as lessees of the temple by raising paddy bajra etc and at present they are growing fodder and selling to dairies at present. They have dug two bore wells, obtained power connection to water the fodder crops. Even now, there is a standing crop. Each petitioner is in possession of small extents of land (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 is in possession of the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.3-15 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 is in possession of the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 is in possession of the tenth petitioner. All the lands are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District

2. It is submitted that the leases were being extended from time to time and the petitioners were paying the lease amounts regularly. Now the municipal area of Proddatur Municipality is extended, covering the

DEPONENT.

20

surrounding villages. The revenue village Rameswaram in which these lands are situated is also included within the municipal limits. The value of the lands increased. The Trust Board of the Temple intended to sell the lands. The leases are not renewed after 31-3-2008, though the possession is with petitioners. The lease amounts sent by way of demand drafts are returned. The petitioners are landless poor persons as defined under the Act No.30 of 1987.

4. The petitioners submitted individual representations on 15-10-2007 and through an advocate on 6-2-2008 expressing their willingness to purchase as contemplated under Section 82 of the Act. The letter of the Advocate is returned on 26-2-2008 stating that the lands are leased out for grazing purpose and they are situated in municipal limits. The petitioners approached this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.5969 of 2008 challenging the validity of some of the provisions of the amended Act and sought for directions to the Assistant Commissioner of Endowments Kadapa to conduct the enquiry with regard to the status of the petitioners as landless poor persons. While dismissing the Writ Petition on 31-3-2008 the Hon'ble Court is pleased to observe that it is always open to the petitioners to approach the second respondent for appropriate relief and when application is filed the same shall be disposed of on merits, after giving notice and opportunity to both parties. (Ex.P-1 is the judgment in W.P.No.5969 of 2008 dated 21-3-2008)

5. The petitioners made individual representations to respondents 2 and 3 on 14-4-2008 to declare them as landless poor persons

DEPONENT.

and consider the proposed sale of lands in their favour. (Ex.P-2 to P-11 are the representations dated 14-4-2008). As there was no response for the earlier representations, the petitioners made fresh representations on 20-9-2008 (Ex.P-12 to P-21). None of the representations are considered and no enquiry is conducted as observed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.5969 of 2008.

6. It is submitted that the petitioners issued legal notice through their advocate on 15-3-2010 (Ex.P-22 is the notice dated 15-3-2010). The respondents have denied the rights of petitioners and issued reply notice through their advocate on 15-4-2010 (Ex.P-23 is the reply notice dated 15-4-2010). The respondents admit that they intend to sell away the lands in public auction for house sites. The second respondent had even directed the third respondent to prepare the layouts by 25-8-2011 in its letter dated 18-8-2011 (Ex.P-24 is the letter dated 18-8-2011). It is submitted that the proposed auction of lands is in utter violation of court directions in W.P.No.5969 of 2008. Before proceeding with the auction the status of the petitioners whether they are small farmers or not is to be determined, to consider their claims to purchase the lands in their possession. It is a beneficial legislation. It is obligatory on the part of the respondents to consider the status of the tenants and allow them to purchase. The proposals to put the lands in public auction is thus arbitrary and illegal.

7. It is submitted that the petitioners live by agriculture. They are the tenants of the Temple for the last 30 years or even more than that. The temple lands are situated in Rameswaram Village. By the time the petitioners entered into agreements, these lands were in Gram Panchayat.

DEPONENT.

2 L

Though the village Rameswaram is now included in Proddatur Municipality the lands are being used for agriculture. There is no conversion of these lands from agriculture. As the petitioners are in possession for more than six years continuously much prior to the inclusion of these lands in Municipality, they are entitled for the benefits under Section 82 (2) of the Act. They are the landless poor persons and got the right to purchase. It is submitted that there is no dispute that the lands in possession of the petitioners are agricultural lands. Grazing purpose is also part of agriculture. Basing on possession and enjoyment of these lands, the petitioners claim that they are landless poor persons. Without considering their status, they cannot be deprived of their rights under Section 82 (2) of the Act.

8. The petitioners issued legal notice on 13-9-2011. There is no response for it. (Ex.P-25 is the notice dated 13-9-2011)

9. The petitioners submit that they have no other alternative and effective remedy except to approach this Hon'ble Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

10. The petitioners submit that they have not filed any writ or initiated any proceedings for the relief sought in this Writ Petition.

11. It is submitted that in the circumstances stated above it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 14-4-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the

DEPONENT.

A.P.Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 belong to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.3-15 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the tenth petitioner, all are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

12. It is submitted that, the petitioners are in possession of their respective lands and there is a standing fodder crop. If the petitioners are dispossessed, they would be put to irreparable injury and hardship. Hence it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioners from their lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the fourth petitioner,

DEPONENT.

24
(5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.3-15 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 which is in possession of the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 which is in possession of the tenth petitioner. All the lands are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District by declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal pending Writ Petition and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

Solemnly and sincerely
affirm and signed on this 12th day
of February, 2012 at Hyderabad.

DEPONENT.

Before me,

Advocate, Hyderabad

VERIFICATION

I, Pathakota Veera Reddy, S/o. P.Venkata Reddy, aged 69 years agriculturist and R/o.D.No.26/53-B, Vasanthapeta, Proddatur Municipality, Kadapa district solemnly affirm and state that the facts mentioned in the affidavit in paras 1 to 10 are true to my knowledge and information and the remaining paras 11 & 12 are on legal advice and I have not suppressed any material facts.

Hyderabad
Date : 12-2-2012

DEPONENT

Counsel for the Petitioners

Memorandum of Writ Petition
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

W.P.No. of 2012

Between :

1. Pathakota Veera Reddy, S/o.P.Venkata Reddy,
aged about 69 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.Docr No.26/53-B, Vasanthapeta,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o.P.Ram Reddy,
aged about 31 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/518, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3. Madem Gurivi Reddy S/o.M.Subba Reddy,
aged about 31 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/313, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4. Madem Prabhakara Reddy, S/o.M.Gurivi Reddy,
aged about 43 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/989, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5. Badvel Chandrasckhara Reddy S/o.B.Yella Reddy,
aged about 45 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.6/791, College Road,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6. Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy S/o.P.Subba Reddy,
Aged about 36 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/1077, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.
7. Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy S/o Late M.Ramakrishna Reddy,
aged about 32 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.6/250-19 E, Revenue Colony,
Behind Veterinary Hospital,
Proddatur Road, Mudukur Post,
Kadapa.
8. Palleti Chandrasekhara Reddy, S/o.P.Muni Reddy,
aged about 48 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.26/1076, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.

DEPONENT.

26

9. ✓ Mulepapu Nageswara Reddy, S/o.M.Nagir Reddy
aged about 48 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.28/33, Rameswaram,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.

10. Koduru Venkateswarlu S/o.K.Subbanna,
Aged about 41 years, Occ : Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.8/442, Shivaji Street,
Proddatur, Kadapa District.

... Petitioners

And

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Endowments) Department,
A.P.Secretariat, Hyderabad

2. The Commissioner, Endowments Department,
A.P., Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

3. The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments,
Kadapa, Kadapa District.

4. Sri.Mukthi Ramalingeswara Swamy Temple,
Rameshwaram, Proddatur Town,
Kadapa District, Represented by its
Executive Officer.

.....Respondents.

The address for service of all notices on the above named petitioner is that of their counsel M/s. M.N.Narasimha Reddy & G.Ramachandra Reddy, advocates, 9 Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad - 66.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petitioners herein pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representations of petitioners dated 14-4-2008, 20-9-2008 and the legal notice dated 13-9-2011 and conduct an enquiry and decide the eligibility of petitioners to the benefits of Section 82 (2) of the A.P.Charitable and Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1987 in respect of the lands (1) an extent of Ac.0-56 cents in S.No.258 belonging to

the first petitioner (2) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.1-50 cents in S.No.316 belong to Second Petitioner, (3) an extent of Ac.0-85 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the third petitioner, (4) an extent of Ac.0-65 cents in S.No.258 and Ac.0-25 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fourth petitioner, (5) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the fifth petitioner, (6) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the sixth petitioner, (7) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the seventh petitioner, (8) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the eighth petitioner, (9) an extent of Ac.0-50 cents in S.No.258 belonging to the ninth petitioner, (10) an extent of Ac.1-00 cents in S.No.316 belonging to the tenth petitioner, all are situated in Rameswaram Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District, declaring the proposed auction of said lands as arbitrary and illegal and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

Hyderabad
Date : 13-2-2012

Counsel for the Petitioners.

KADAPA DISTRICT
IN THE HIGH COURT OF
JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT
HYDERABAD

W.P.No. 5132 of 2012

WRIT PETITION

Filed on : 24-2-2012

Filed by :

M/s. M N NARASIMHA REDDY (626)
G. RAMACHANDRA REDDY (345)

Counsel for the Petitioners.

మహారాజశ్రీ గౌరవనీయులైన డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ ఎంజిమెంట్ ఆఫీసర్, దేవాదాయ శాఖ
వై.యస్.ఆర్.కడప జిల్లా కడప వారి దివ్యసముఖమునకు

సదరు జిల్లా ప్రొద్దుటూరు టౌన్‌లో వెలసియున్న శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామి దేవస్థానమునకు చెందిన భూములను కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటున్న ఈ క్రింది సంతకములు చేసిన వారము నమస్కరించి సమర్పించుకుంటున్న వినతి పత్రము.

ಆರಾ್ಯ,

విషయము - ప్రొద్దుటూరు శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామి భూములను కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటున్న వారిని భూమి లేని నిరుపేదలుగా పరిగణించి రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు రిట్ పిటిషన్ నెం. 5132/2012లో జారీచేసిన ఉత్తర్వుల మేరకు కౌలు చేసుకుంటున్న వారికి వికయించవలసినదిగా అభ్యర్థన.

సూచిక- రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు రిట్ పిటిషన్ నెం. 5132/2012లో ఇచ్చిన తుది తీర్పు తేది 20.8.2024.

తనుగిరికి సవిస్థయముగా మనవి చేసుకోవడమేమనగా మా పూర్వీకుల నుండి ప్రొద్దుటూరులోని శ్రీ ముక్తిరామలింగేశ్వర స్వామి వారికి చెందిన సర్వే నెం. 258 మరియు 316 రామేశ్వరం గ్రామ పొలంలోని భూములను క్రింద తెలిపిన విధముగా కౌలుకు చేసుకుంటూ, సక్రమముగా కౌలు మొత్తము చెల్లిస్తూ మేము జీవిస్తున్నాము.

- | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1) | పాతకోట వీధారెడ్డి చనిపోయినందున వారి కుమారుడు విజయభాస్కరెడ్డి | 0.56 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 258 |
| 2) | పాతకోట రామకృష్ణారెడ్డి | 0.50 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 258 |
| | | 1.50 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 316 |
| 3) | మాడెం గురివీరెడ్డి | 0.85 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 258 |
| 4) | మాడెం ప్రభాకరరెడ్డి | 0.65 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 258 |
| | | 0.25 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 316 |
| 5) | బద్వేలు చంద్రశేఖరరెడ్డి | 1.00 సెంట్లు | సర్వే నెం. 316 |

6) పల్లేటి వెంకటసుబ్బారెడ్డి	1.00 సెంట్లు	సర్వే నెం. 316
7) మార్తల చంద్రవోబుళరెడ్డి	0.50 సెంట్లు	సర్వే నెం. 316
8) పల్లేటి చంద్రశేఖరరెడ్డి	0.50 సెంట్లు	సర్వే నెం. 316
9) మూలేపాకు మహేశ్వరరెడ్డి		
తండ్రి కీ.శే. నాగేశ్వరరెడ్డి	0.50 సెంట్లు	సర్వే నెం. 258
10) కొండూరు వెంకటేశ్వర్లు	1.00 సెంట్లు	సర్వే నెం. 316

తమరికి మనవి చేసుకోవడమేమనగా మాకు మంజూరు చేసిన కౌలు కాలము ముగిసిన వెంటనే మరలా రెన్యూవల్ చేసుకుంటూ యున్నాము. ఆక్రమణములో మాకు మంజూరు చేసిన కౌలు గడువు 2008లో ముగిసిన వెంటనే మరలా మాకు కౌలు రెన్యూవల్ చేయకుండా సాము సాగు చేసుకుంటున్న భూములను బహిరంగ వేలమునకు ప్రకటించినారు. వెంటనే 14.4.2008 మరియు 20.9.2008వ తేదీలలో దేవస్థాన అధికారులకు లేఖ ప్రస్తుత మేము భూమిలేని నిరుపేదలము అయినందున, యీ భూమిపై ఆధారపడి వున్నామని, మాకు విక్రయించవలసినదిగా అభ్యర్థించియున్నాము. దేవస్థాన అధికారుల నుండి ఏలాంటి స్పందన లేనందున మేము 13.9.2011వ తేదీన లీగల్ నోటీసు పంపినాము. అయిననూ దేవస్థాన అధికారుల నుండి మా నోటీసుకు ఏలాంటి స్పందన రానందున, గత్యంతరము లేని పరిస్థితులలో మేమందరము వుమ్మడిగా రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టులో 5132/2012 నెంబరుగా రిట్ ఫిటిషన్ దాఖలు చేస్తూ మాకు న్యాయము సమకూర్చవలసినదిగా ప్రార్థించియున్నాము. ఇప్పటికి సదరు దేవస్థానము భూములు మా ఆనుభవములోనే వున్నవి.

రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టువారు వాది ప్రతివాదుల వాదనలు కూలంకషముగా ఆలకించిన తరువాత మేము మేము సాగు చేసుకుంటున్న భూములను బహిరంగ వేలమునకు ప్రకటించినారు. తదుపరి 14.4.2008 మరియు 20.9.2008వ తేదీలలో దేవస్థాన అధికారులకు మేము సమర్పించిన అభ్యర్థనలను పరిగణనలోకి తీసుకొని మాకు తగు ఉత్తర్వులను రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారి తీర్పు ముట్టిన 4 నెలల లోపల మంజూరు చేయవలసినదిగా సదరు దావాలో తమరిని ఆదేశించియున్నారు.

కానీ రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారు ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేసి నాలుగు నెలలు పూర్తి అయినా కూడా ఖాశగునేయకుండా ఏలాంటి ఉత్తర్వులు మంజూరు చేయకుండా నిర్లిప్తంగా వుంటున్నారు.

కావున తమరు ఇప్పటికైనా స్పందించి రాజశ్రీ కోర్టువారి ఆదేశాల మేరకు తగు ఉత్తర్వులు వెంటనే మంజూరు చేసి మా భూమిపై ఆధారపడిన మమ్ము ఆదుకోవలసినదిగా ప్రార్థిస్తున్నాము.

ఇట్లు,

తమ విధేయులు (కొలుదూరులు)

- 1) పాతకోట విజయభాస్కర్‌రెడ్డి తండ్రి వీరారెడ్డి P.V. Bhaskar Reddy S/o. P. Veera Reddy
- 2) పాతకోట రామకృష్ణారెడ్డి P. Ram Krishna Reddy
- 3) మాడెం గురివరెడ్డి M. గురివరెడ్డి S/o. గురివరెడ్డి
- 4- డ్యూడెండ్రింగి M. డ్యూడెండ్రింగి S/o. డ్యూడెండ్రింగి
- 5) బద్వేలు చంద్రశేఖరరెడ్డి B. Chandra Sekhara Reddy
- 6) పల్లేటి వెంకటసుబ్బారెడ్డి P.V. Venkata Subbarao
- 7) మార్తల చంద్రవోబుళరెడ్డి M. Chandra Chakraborty
- 8) పల్లేటి చంద్రశేఖరరెడ్డి P. Chandra Sekhara Reddy
- 9) మూలేపాకు మహేశ్వరరెడ్డి M. Maheswar Reddy S/o. M. Nagayyara Reddy
- 10) కొండూరు వెంకటేశ్వర్లు K. Venkateswara

ఇందువెంట రాజశ్రీ ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ హైకోర్టు వారి తీర్పు ఉత్తర్వుల నకలు తమరి పరిశీలనకు సమర్పించడమైనది.

Received copy,
For the Executive Officer
SRI MUKTHI RAMA LINGESWARA
SWAMY TEMPLE,
RAMESWARAM, PRODDATUR TOWN
KANDAPA (DIST)

ఇది కోర్టు నకలు పాత కోట విజయభాస్కర్‌రెడ్డి తండ్రి వీరారెడ్డి, డ్యూడెండ్రింగి, పల్లేటి వెంకటసుబ్బారెడ్డి, మార్తల చంద్రవోబుళరెడ్డి, పల్లేటి చంద్రశేఖరరెడ్డి, మూలేపాకు మహేశ్వరరెడ్డి, కొండూరు వెంకటేశ్వర్లు

To
The Hon'ble District Endowments Officer,
Endowments Department,
Y.S.R. District,
Kadapa.

31

Respected Sir,

Sub:-Request to consider the tenants of the lands situated in Somulavaripalle Panchayat Rameshwaram village fields belonging to Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Proddatur as landless and destitute and request is to sell the lands to the tenants as per the orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 5132/2012.

Ref:- Final Judgement delivered on 20.8.2024 by the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in the W.P.No.5132/2012

....

We, the undersigned, with respectful obeisance, submit that we are tenants of the lands situated in Ramewaram Village fields, Somulavaripalle Panchayat belonging to the Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple Proddatur town are submitting this petition.

We humbly submit that we are living on the lands of the Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple Proddatur, situated in Survey No. 258 and 316 of Rameswaram village fields as given below and paying the rent regularly.

S.No.	Name	Extent Acs. Cent s	Survey Number
1	Pathakota Veera Reddy (Died) his son Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy	0.56	258
2	Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy	0.50	258
		1.50	316
3	Macem Guriivi Reddy	0.65	258
4	Macem Prabhakar Reddy	0.65	258
		0.25	316
5	Badvelu Chandra Sekhar Reddy	1.00	316

But even after four months of issuing the orders by the Hon'ble court, it is still not paying any attention and is not granting any orders and is aloof and silent.

Therefore, we pray that you will respond now and immediately grant appropriate orders as per the orders of the Hon'ble court and help us who are dependent on these lands.

Yours faithfully,

S.No.	Name	Signature
1	Pathakota Veera Reddy (Died) his son Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy	Sd/- x x x
2	Pathakota Ramakrishna Reddy	Sd/- x x x
3	Madem Guriivi Reddy	Sd/- x x x
4	Madem Prabhakar Reddy	Sd/- x x x
5	Badvelu Chandra Sekhar Reddy	Sd/- x x x
6	Palleti Venkata Subba Reddy	Sd/- x x x
7	Marthala Chandra Obula Reddy	Sd/- x x x
8	Palleti Chandra Sekhar Reddy	Sd/- x x x
9	Moolepaku Maheswara Reddy S/o. Late Nageswara Reddy	Sd/- x x x
10	Konduru Venkateswarlu	Sd/- x x x

Encls: Copy of the Judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh for favour of perusal.

The copy submitted to the Executive Officer, Sri Mukthiramalingeswara Swamy Temple, Proddatur.

CA FSA Endow

8

YSR KADAPA DISTRICT


IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE
STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI

C.C.No. of 2025

in

W.P.No. 5132 of 2012

Rev/Cy
Endow



Acknowledgement No.:



REV070233494

APOLCMS

Tuesday, Apr 29, 2025 10:57:09 AM

Filed by:

K.Mohan Rami Reddy (487)
Advocate

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS

9241175559 350