

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

W.P. No.

OF 2025

RUNNING INDEX

S.N	Ex-	Description of Document	Date of doc	Date of filing	Page No.
1.		Contempt Case Petition	-06-2025	-06-2025	1-2
2.		Contempt Case Affidavit		Do	3-7
3.		Certified copy of Interim Direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in W.P. NO. 8235/2025	03-04-2025	Do	8-12
4.		Copy of petition, affidavit in W.P. No. 8235/2025		Do	13-24
5.		Copy of Grevance Report RC No. A7/PGRS/2025	01-06-2025		25-30
6.		valsalat			31

Amaravathi,

Dt: -06-2025


 Counsel for Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AT
AMARAVATHI

C.C. No. _____ of 2025
in
W.P.No. 8235 OF 2025

Between:

**Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram,
Indian, aged about 36 years, Outsourcing Employee,
D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple,
Srisailem Project, Nandhyala District.**

.....Petitioners

AND

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand, IAS., The State of Andhra Pradesh,
by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department),
to the State of Andhra Pradesh,
Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. Sri K. Ramachandra Mohan, The Commissioner of Endowments,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.
3. Sri M. Srinivasara Rao, The Executive Officer,
Srisailem Devasthanam, Srisailem, Nandhyala District.

...RESPONDENTS

Address for the service of all notices And process on the above
named petitioner of that of her Counsel : Smt. K. ARUNA
(6164), Advocate, Flat No. 202, Sri Veda Towers, Kunchanapalli,
Tadepally, Guntur District.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to punish the respondents for violating or dis-obeying the interim direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in W.P. No. 8235/2025 of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi under the provisions of Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentionally and willfully disobeyed of this Hon'ble Court and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Amaravathi,

Dt: 18-06-2025


Counsel for Petitioner

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AT
AMARAVATHI

C.C. No. _____ of 2025

in
W.P. No. 8235 OF 2025

Between:

Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram,
Indian, aged about 36 years, Outsourcing Employee,
D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple,
Srisailem Project, Nandhyala District.

.....Petitioners

AND

1. Sri Vadarevu Vinay Chand, IAS., The State of Andhra Pradesh,
by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department), to the
State of Andhra Pradesh,
Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.

2. Sri K.Ramachandra Mohan, The Commissioner of Endowments,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.

3. Sri M.Srinivasara Rao, The Executive Officer,
Srisailem Devasthanam, Srisailem, Nandhyala District.

...RESPONDENTS

AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE PETITIONER

I, Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram, Indian, Employee, D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple, Srisailem Project, Nandhyala District, now having temporarily come down to Amaravathi, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

- 4
- 2
1. I submit that, I am the petitioner herein and Petitioner in W.P. No. 8235/2025, as such, I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.
 2. I submit that I have filed the writ petition vide W.P. No. 8235/2025 is filed seeking to declare the action of the respondents particularly 3rd Respondent in issuing the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 in terminating the petitioner from the service as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the Service Rules and violative of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 of 3rd respondent and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Work Inspector in 3rd Respondent Temple by converting to on Contract Basis from outsourcing basis as was done in Sri Seetha Ramesh case (vide proceedings Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024) issued by 3rd Respondent and pay the scale as per PRC-2022 on par with other employees and pay salary from January, 2025 for the work executed and to continue the petitioner in service on contract basis and other reliefs.
 3. I submit that the said W.P. NO. 8235/2025 is listed on 03-04-2025 and after hearing the arguments on both sides, the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to pass the indirection direction that "Prima facie,

3

5

this court is of the view that when the respondent No.s 2 and 3 have extended the benefit of continuing similar person, who initially appointed on outsourcing basis and after disengaged continuing on contract basis in view of need and necessity of such persons, the petitioner is also entitled for similar benefit and also considering that the 3rd respondent has already submitted report dt. 13-06-2024, recommending the petitioner to be continued, the impugned proceedings vide Rc.No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dated 21-03-2025, issued by the 3rd respondent, to the extent of petitioner, shall stands suspended and the 3rd Respondent is directed to continue the petitioner in the post, which he was working". The Hon'ble High Court posted the above matter after 8 weeks and in the meanwhile for counter affidavit be filed within 4 weeks and reply if any in 2 weeks.

4. I submit that immediately I have been approached the 3rd respondent office along with interim direction copy dt. 03-04-2025 daily requesting him to allow me into service as per the court direction. But, the officials of 3rd respondent office did not respond. Hence, I have sent letter along with court interim direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in WP. No. 8235/2025 on 01-05-2025 by way of Regd. Post with Ack. Due to the 3rd respondent requesting him to implement the interim direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in W.P. No. 8235/2025. The 3rd Respondent received the said letter on 04-04-2025, but did not implement the

6
court order. After wait some time, I once again sent a letter to the 3rd Respondent through my counsel on 10-04-2025 by way of Regd Post With Ack. Due requesting him to implement the court order dt. 03-04-2025 in WP 8235/2025 immediately. As on action was taken and the 3rd respondent insisted to handover charge with anti-date or-else he would file a criminal case against me, then I submitted a application under Spandana vide application No.NND20250525145 to the respondent authorities. I received reply on 01-06-2025 stating that after govrment taking a decision they will act accordingly and the request to implement the court interim order is rejected and further that they have registered a FIR against me. Having no other alternative I got issued a notice under contempt of court Act stating that I will proceed Under Contempt of Court Proceedings against the concerned. But, till today the respondents did not responded to my both the two notices. The Hon'ble Court specifically directed the respondents to continue me in service, but, the respondents intentionally and deliberately violated the Hon'ble Court interim direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in WP NO. 8235/2025 which clearly shows it is nothing but wantringly disobeying the orders of the Hon'ble High Court and violating the Hon'ble High Court orders and hence. the respondents are liable to be punished under the Provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

३

Hence, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to punish the respondents for violating or dis-obeying the interim direction dt. 03-04-2025 passed in I.A.No.1/2025 in W.P. No. 8235/2025 of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi under the provisions of Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentionally and willfully disobeyed of this Hon'ble Court and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

DEPONENT

Solemnly affirmed before me on this
Day of June, 2025.

Before me

Advocate/Amaravathi

V E R I F I C A T I O N

I, Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram, being the writ petitioner, do hereby verify and state that the contents of Paras of the affidavit filed in support of the petition are true to the best of my personal knowledge, belief based on information and I believe the same to be true and correct.

ADVOCATE

DEPONENT

8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 8235 OF 2025



Between:

Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram, Indian, aged about 36 years,
Outsourcing Employee, D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple,
Srisailem Project, Nandhyala District.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State Of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department), Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Commissioner of Endowments, Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.
3. The Executive Officer, Srisailem Devasthanam, Srisailem, Nandhyala District.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, by declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd Respondent in issuing the impugned proceedings vide Rc No SBMSD ADMN0ESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt.21-03-2025 in terminating the petitioner from the service as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the Service Rules and violative of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and side the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD- ADMN0ESTT/10/2024-EST(A7),

9

dt. 21-03-2025 of 3rd respondent and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Work Inspector in 3rd Respondent Temple by converting to Contract Base from outsourcing basis as was done in Sri N. Seetha Ramesh case (vide proceedings Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024) issued by 3rd Respondent and pay the scale as per PRC-2022 and pay salary from January, 2025 for the work executed and to continue the petitioner in contract basis and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMN0ESTT/10/2024- EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 issued by the 3rd Respondent pending disposal of the above writ petition

IA NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to directing the respondents to consider representation of the petitioner dt. 26-03- 2025 to convert the service of the petitioner from outsourcing basis to Contract basis as done in Sri N. Seetha Ramesh case pending disposal of the writ petition

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondents pay the salary from January, 2025 onwards to the petitioner in rendering for his service in the office of 3rd Respondent pending disposal of writ petition and grant such other relief or

reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. K ARUNA Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP FOR ENDOWMENTS for the Respondents;

The Court made the following ORDER:

"Learned Government Pleader for Endowments takes notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Sri T. Venu Gopal, learned counsel takes notice for respondent No.3 and seeks time to file counter-affidavit.

The petitioner being qualified as M-Tech (Electrical) was engaged on contract basis by following proper selection process, by proceedings dated 23.03.2013, for a period of three years. After completion of three years, his services were disengaged. Later, he was reengaged by proceedings dated 19.10.2021 as Work Inspector on outsourcing monthly wages of Rs.16,100/-. The Deputy Collector & Executive Officer, submitted report dated 13.06.2024 to the 2nd respondent for continuation of petitioner, one Mr S.Seeta Ramesh and others, who were appointed on outsourcing basis due to need and necessity of such experienced persons.

In respect of Mr S.Seeta Ramesh, based on his representation and report dated 13.06.2024 submitted by the Executive Officer, the Government permitted the 2nd respondent to utilize his services, who then was working as Assistant Engineer on outsourcing basis, as on contract basis and to issue necessary appointment orders. Accordingly, he has been continued on contract basis. Though, the petitioner also stands on same footing, by impugned proceedings dated 21.03.2025 petitioner services have been disengaged.

4

Prima facie, this Court is of the view that when respondent Nos.2 and 3 have extended the benefit of continuing similar person, who initially appointed on outsourcing basis and after disengaged continuing on contract basis in view of need and necessity of such persons, petitioner is also entitled for similar benefit and also considering that the 3rd respondent has already submitted report dated 13.06.2024, recommending the petitioner to be continued, the impugned proceedings vide Rc.No.SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dated 21.03.2025, issued by the 3rd respondent, to the extent of petitioner, shall stands suspended and the 3rd respondent is directed to continue the petitioner in the post, which he was working.

Let counter-affidavit be filed within (04) weeks and reply, if any, in two (02) weeks.

List the matter after eight (08) weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K.TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department), The State Of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District. (BY SPECIAL MESSENGER)
2. The Commissioner of Endowments, Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.
3. The Executive Officer, Srisailam Devasthanam, Srisailam, Nandhyala District. (Addressee Nos. 2 & 3 BY RPAD)
4. One CC to Sri. K ARUNA, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR ENDOWMENTS, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
6. One spare copy

12

HIGH COURT

CGR, J


DATED:03/04/2025

LIST THE MATTER AFTER EIGHT (08) WEEKS.

ORDER

WP.No.8235 of 2025

DIRECTION



13 11

Memorandum of Writ Petition
(Filed Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH,
AT AMARAVATHI**

W.P.NO. 8725 OF 2025

Between:

**Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram,
Indian, aged about 36 years, Outsourcing Employee,
D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple,
Srisailem Project, Nandhyala District.**

.....Petitioners

AND

- 1. The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep: by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department),
Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.**
- 2. The Commissioner of Endowments,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.**
- 3. The Executive Officer,
Srisailem Devasthanam, Srisailem, Nandhyala District.**

....RESPONDENTS


Address for the service of all notices And process on the above
named petitioner of that of her Counsel : Smt. K. ARUNA
(6164),Advocate, Flat No. 202, Sri Veda Towers, Kunchanapalli,
Tadepally, Guntur District.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, It is therefore
prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or
direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, by
declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd Respondent in
issuing the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-
ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 in terminating the

petitioner from the service as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the Service Rules and violative of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 of 3rd respondent and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Work Inspector in 3rd Respondent Temple by converting to Contract Base from outsourcing basis as was done in Sri N. Seetha Ramesh case (vide proceedings Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024) issued by 3rd Respondent and pay the scale as per PRC-2022 and pay salary from January, 2025 for the work executed and to continue the petitioner in service on contract basis and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

Vijayawada,

Dt: 25-03-2025.


Counsel for Petitioner

15

15

Nandhyala ; District

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI

W.P. No.

OF 2025

WRIT PETITION

Filed by:

K. ARUNA (6164)

Advocates

Counsel for Petitioner

16

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, AT
AMARAVATHI

W.P.NO. OF 2025

Between:

Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram,
Indian, aged about 36 years, Outsourcing Employee,
D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple,
Srisailam Project, Nandhyala District.

.....Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep: by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Endowment Department),
Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Commissioner of Endowments,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, NTR District.
3. The Executive Officer,
Srisailam Devasthanam, Srisailam, Nandhyala District.

...RESPONDENTS

AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE PETITIONER

I, Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram, Indian, Employee, D. NO. 4-17-29, Near: Hanuman Temple, Srisailam Project, Nandhyala District, now having temporarily come down to Amaravathi, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

1. I submit that, I am the petitioner herein, as such, I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.

2. I submit that the present writ petition is filed seeking to declare the action of the respondents particularly 3rd Respondent in issuing the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 in terminating the petitioner from the service as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the Service Rules and violative of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 of 3rd respondent and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Work Inspector in 3rd Respondent Temple by converting to on Contract Basis from outsourcing basis as was done in Sri Seetha Ramesh case vide proceedings Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024 issued by 3rd Respondent and pay the scale as per PRC-2022 on par with other employees and pay salary from January, 2025 for the work done and to continue the petitioner in service on contract basis and other reliefs.

3. I respectfully submit that, the 3rd Respondent has given a paper notification vide Rc. No. A1/1223/2013, dt. 13-03-2013 calling for applications for appointment of Work Inspector and other posts at Sri Bramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamyvarla Devasthanam, at Srisailem. Basing on the said notification, I have submitted an application and after conducting the written test and interview, I was selected and appointed as Work Inspector on 23-03-2013 for a period of 3 years and the appointment was given for the terms and conditions. *The proceedings*

vide Rc. NO. A1/1223/2013, dt. 23-03-2013 issued by 3rd respondent is marked as Ex-P1. After completion of 3 years period, My services was discontinued on 24-03-2016 orally. Again, I was engaged at Kanakaduarga Malleswara Swamy Temple at Vijayawada on 11-06-2016 along with one Sri N. Seetha Ramesh who was also appointed with me under the same paper notification at Srisailam Temple and we worked at Vijayawada for another three years. *The proceedings in Rc. NO. A1/2631/2015, dt. 11-06-2016 of 3rd Respondent is marked as Ex-P2.* Thereafter, I was again called and re-joined being on my earlier selection along with Seetha Ramesh at Bramarambha Mallikarjuna Swamyvarla Devasthanam on 19-10-2021 and we were shown as outsourcing candidates and I have been continued till to date. *The copy of letter vide L.Dis. No. COLE-13025(43)/26/2021-C SEC-ENDOWMENTS, dt. 19-10-2021 issued by 2nd respondent is marked as Ex-P3.*

4. I submit that, though I was appointed as Work Inspector, I was functioning as Assistant Engineer Electrical (In-charge). I made a representation to the authorities to accommodate me on contract basis on par with Sri Seetha Ramesh. Myself and Sri Sitha Ramesh have been appointed in the same notification on 13-03-2013 and have been functioning along with. The 2nd Respondent - The Commissioner of Endowments reported that Sri Seetha Ramesh was subjected to a selection process for utilizing his services as Assistant Engineer on

contract basis and was discontinued after completion of 3 years. Later Vide Rc. No. C1/13025/(43)/8/2021, dated 18-03-2021, permission was accorded to engage the services, as per existing circular and he was engaged for the same category on outsourcing basis. At the same time appointments were made to the post of Assistant Executive Engineer by the Commissioner through ESCI for contract basis. At present, the Asst Executive Engineer working on contract basis are paid minimum basic pay of Rs. 57,1000/- in PRC-2022. Sri Seetha Ramesh was paid Rs. 29,243/- as on that date. Though the services rendered are same, there appears to be a discrimination in the payment of wages. Further in terms of G.O.Ms. No. 7, Finance (HR-I-Plg. & Policy) Department, dt. 17-01-2022, the post of Assistant Engineer/ Assistant Executive Engineer is not covered for making payments as per G.O. on outsourcing basis and finally requested the Government to issue necessary orders on the representation of the individual.

5. I submit that, the Government after careful examination of the matter here by permit the Commissioner, Endowments to utilize the services of Sri Seetha Ramesh who is currently working as Assistant Engineer on outsourcing basis in Sri Bramaramba Mallikarjuna Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Srisaillam as A.E.E. (Civil) on contract basis in the same institution duly considering the fact that he was initially appointed on contract basis following the due process and worked during the period from 2013 to 2016. *The copy of Memo NO. REV01-*

ENDW/1153/2023/ENDT.I, dt. 23-01-2024 of 1st Respondent is marked as Ex-P4. Subsequently, the 3rd respondent issued proceedings vide Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024 that Sri N. Seetha Ramesh is engaged on contract Basis as Asst. Executive Engineer (Civil) in 3rd Respondent office on Contract Basis and his contract basis is fixed at Minimum Basic pay of Rs. 57,100/- as per PRC-2022. **The proceedings vide Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024 is marked as Ex-P5.**

6. While so, I made number of representations dt; 26-03-2024 etc, to consider my case also on par with Sri Seetha Ramesh case and convert my services from outsourcing basis to contract basis and to pay scale as per PRC-2022. **The copy of representation dt. 26-03-2024 is marked as Ex-P6.** The Government has conducted an enquiry to disengage the services of the persons who were not appointed on the nomination basis in violation of Rule 44 of Service Rules. My requests were also considered by the 3rd Respondent - Executive Officer and recommended to the 2nd Respondent- Commissioner of Endowments through letter vide Rc.No. A7/4233/2021, dt. 13-06-2024 to convert the petitioner services from outsourcing basis to Contract Basis. **The copy of letter vide Rc. No. A7/4233/2021, dt. 13-06-2024 is marked as Ex-P7.**

7. I submit that thereafter, the 3rd Respondent- Executive Officer has conducted a review and identified five members who were appointed in violation of Rule 44 of service Rules without any notice whatsoever or calling for explanation had taken decision to terminate their services. I

was also present in that review meeting. To my surprise, my name was shown in the Impugned order vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 25-12-2024 alleging that my services were engaged in contrary to Rule 44 of service rules 2000. **The copy of impugned order vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 25-12-2024 issued by Dy Collector & Executive Officer is marked as Ex-P8.** In-fact I was appointed through a paper notification after following due process and after conducting the written test and interview, then only I was engaged as Work Inspector along with others, since the date of appointment, I have been working sincerely to utmost satisfaction of the superior authorities.

8. I submit that, though the proceedings, dt. 25-12-2024 shows that our services were disengaged from 01-12-2024, I have been in services even today. I have been entrusting the work day to day on permanent assigning the attendance register. But, unfortunately, I was not paid salary from January 2025 to till today.

9. I submit that, the 2nd Respondent intimated a letter to 3rd Respondent vide L.Dis. No. C1/13025(43)/3/2025, dt. 17-02-2025 to 3rd respondent stating that for continuation of services of personnel working in Engineering Wing is differed for the present, as their services were already dispensed by the then Executive Officer. **The copy of letter L.Dis.NO C1/13025(43)/3/2025, dt. 17-02-2025 is marked as Ex-P9.**

10. I submit that, basing on my representation to convert my services, the Government has called for report for taking necessary action to convert my services from outsourcing basis to contract basis by **Memo. No. REVO1-ENOW/326/2024-ENDT-1, dt. 03-03-2025 of 1st Respondent which is marked as Ex-P10.** I again submitted another representation dt. 18-03-2025 to 3rd Respondent requesting to delete my name in the list Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 25-12-2024 which was mentioned in the report and pay salary for rendering my services. **The copy of representation dt. 18-03-2025 is marked as Ex-P11.**

10. I submit that, surprisingly, the 3rd Respondent has issued impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(AZ), dt. 21-03-2025 stating that the petitioner along with some other persons were removed from the services for the reason that the said persons were appointed basing on outsourcing, instead of through Employment exchange/Paper Notification/written test. **The copy of impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(AZ), dt. 21-03-2025 issued by 3rd Respondent is marked as Ex-P12.** The said impugned proceedings issued by 3rd Respondent is purely contrary to the prescribed service rules. I was initially appointed based on paper publication by conducting written examination and interview and I was appointed as work inspector on 23-03-20013 on Contract basis along with another one Sri Seetha Ramesh vide proceedings vide Rc. NO.

A1/1223/2013, dt. 23-03-2013 issued by 3rd respondent. Later, myself and Sri Seetha Ramesh were again called and joined at Srisailam Devasthanam on 19-10-2021 vide L.Dis No. COLE-13025/(43)/26/2021-C SEC-ENDOWMENTS, dt. 19-10-2021 on outsourcing basis and I have been continued in service till to date. My representations are pending before the authorities for consideration. At this stage, the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 25-12-2024 and subsequent impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(AZ), dt. 21-03-2025 issued by 3rd Respondent is illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice and Articles 14,21 of Constitution of India.

Under these circumstances stated above, the petitioner has no efficacious alternative remedy except to approach this Hon'ble Court under Article 226 of constitution of India. The petitioner has not filed any writ petition, suit or other proceedings for the relief or relieves sought herein.

MAIN PRAYER: It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, by declaring the action of the respondents particularly 3rd Respondent in issuing the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 in

terminating the petitioner from the service as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to the Service Rules and violative of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 of 3rd respondent and consequently, direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Work Inspector in 3rd Respondent Temple by converting to Contract Base from outsourcing basis as was done in Sri N. Seetha Ramesh case (vide proceedings Rc. No. A7/1223/2013, Dt. 21-02-2024) issued by 3rd Respondent and pay the scale as per PRC-2022 and pay salary from January, 2025 for the work executed and to continue the petitioner in service on contract basis and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

It is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to suspend the impugned proceedings vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), dt. 21-03-2025 issued by the 3rd Respondent pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

It is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased direct the respondents pay the salary from January, 2025 onwards to the petitioner in rendering for his service in the office of 3rd Respondent pending

24
disposal of writ petition and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

It is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to directing the respondents to consider representation of the petitioner dt. 18-03-2025 to convert the service of the petitioner from outsourcing basis to Contract basis as done in Sri N. Seetha Ramesh case pending disposal of writ petition and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

DEPONENT

Solemnly affirmed and signed before me
On this day of 25th March, 2025.

Before ME

Advocate :: Amaravathi

VERIFICATION

I, Myla Chiranjeevi, S/o Tulasiram, being the writ petitioner, do hereby verify and state that the contents of Paras of the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition are true fact to my personal knowledge, based on information and as legal aspect therein based on legal advice, are to my knowledge based on recdrds and believed to be correct.

ADVOCATE

DEPONENT



కార్యాలయం, మండలం/మున్సిపల్
ప్రజా సమస్యల పరిష్కార వేదిక (PGRS)

నంద్యాల జిల్లా

25



Re.No. A7/PGRS/2025

Date: 01.06.2025

నివేదిక

1. PGRS ఆర్డీ నెంబరు : NND20250525145
2. ఆర్డీదారుని పేరు : M.CHIRANJEEVI
3. ఆర్డీదారుని యొక్క మొబైల్ నెంబరు : 8897908840
4. విరుద్ధము : శ్రీశైలం, వంద్యాల జిల్లా
5. ఆర్డీదారుని యొక్క ఫిర్యాదు / సమస్య : అప్పట్లో సోల్సింగ్ వద్దకి పై వర్క్ ఇన్వెక్టర్ పనిచేయుచున్న అభ్యర్థి వారిని విధుల నుండి తొలగించుట - గౌరవ ఉన్నత న్యాయస్థానము నందు దాఖలు చేసిన WP.8235/2025 నందలి ముద్యతర ఉత్తర్వులను అమలు పరచి, తిరిగి విధుల యందు క్రిమినోనవలసినదిగా కోరుట గురించి.

6. ఆర్డీదారుని యొక్క సమస్య పరిష్కార వివరములు :

శ్రీయుత కమిషనర్, దేవదాయ దర్శదాయ శాఖ వారి ఉత్తర్వులు ఇన్ LD.No.C/19025(49)/3/2025, Dt.17.02.2025 ననుసరించి అభ్యర్థి వారితో పాటు మొత్తం 6 మంది అప్పట్లో సోల్సింగ్ వద్దకి పై పనిచేసిన విక్రీతర్ నిబ్బందిని తొలగించుట జరిగినది. తదుపరి, అభ్యర్థి వారు గౌరవ ఉన్నత నందు దాఖలు WP.8235 of 2025 నందలి ముద్యతర ఉత్తర్వులపై అమలు పైల్ చేయుటకు చర్యలు గైకొనబడియున్నవి. తదుపరి, సదరు విషయములో శ్రీయుత కమిషనర్, దేవదాయ దర్శదాయ శాఖ వారికి Re.No. SMSD-ADMINOESTT/10/2024-EST(A7), తేద.01.06.2025 ద్వారా నివేదికను పంపుట జరిగినది. శ్రీయుత కమిషనర్, దేవదాయ దర్శదాయ శాఖ వారి నుండి ఉత్తర్వులు వచ్చిన పిదప విధుల నుండి తొలగించిన ఆశయ నిబ్బంది అందరితో పాటు ఆర్డీదారుని యొక్క సమస్యను కూడా నిబంధనల మేరకు పరిష్కరించుటకు చర్యలు గైకొనబడును.

7. ప్రస్తుతం అర్జీ ప్రిక్ :

కోర్టు పరిధిలో వున్న అంశం మరియు ప్రభుత్వ ఉత్తర్వుల అనంతరం తగు చర్యలు తీసుకొనబడును.

1. పరిష్కరించబడింది.

2. కోర్టు పరిధిలో వున్న అంశం

3. చరిత్ర / అర్థిక పరమైన అంశం కావున ఉన్నతాధికారులు దృష్టికి తీసుకువెళ్లడమైనది

* పోదా

4. ప్రభుత్వ ఉత్తర్వుల అనంతరం తగు చర్యలు తీసుకొనబడును

5. పరిష్కరించుటకు నీలు కాని అంశము

6. తీరస్కరించడమైనది

7. F.R. నమోదు కాబడినది

అర్జీదారుని సంతకం

M. ch... ..

తేదీ

04/05/25

// అమమరికో //

సం/- యంత్రీనివాస రావు

కార్యనిర్వాహకాధికారి

(అర్జీ పరిష్కరించు అధికారి)

ధర్మనిర్వాహకాధికారి

11/5/25

27

-----office,-----Mandal/Municipal

Public Grievance Redressal Platform (PGRS)

Nandyala District

Rc.No. A7/PGRS/2025

Date: 01.06.2025

Report

1.PGRS Application Number : NND20250625145

2 Applicant's name : M.CHRANJEEVI

3. Applicant's mobile number : 8897000840

4. Address : Srisailam, Nandyal District,

5 Complaint/Problem of the Applicant:

Regarding the removal of the candidate working as a Work Inspector on the outsourcing method from duty - regarding the implementation of the interim orders in WP.8235/2025 filed in the Hon'ble High Court and requesting that they be reinstated.

6. Applicant's problem resolution details

Shriyuta, Commissioner of the Endowment and Charitable Endowment Department, 550 13 L. Dis. No. C1/13025(43)/3/2025, D1.17.02.2025. A total of six technical staff members who worked on the outsourcing method were dismissed.

Next, the candidate filed a high-profile petition. WP.8235 of 2025 has been filed.

28

Furthermore, a report vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNDEST/ 10/2024-ESTIA71 80.01.06.2025 has been sent to the Hon'ble Commissioner of the Endowments and Charities Department regarding this matter.

After receiving orders from the Hon'ble Commissioner of the Endowment and Charitable Department, steps will be taken to resolve the petitioner's issue as per the provisions, along with all other staff who have been removed from duty.

Appropriate action will be taken after the matter is within the jurisdiction of the court and government orders.

7. Current application status:

1. Resolved
2. Matter within the jurisdiction of the court
3. This is an administrative/financial matter and should be brought to the attention of higher authorities.
- * Designation
4. Appropriate action will be taken after government orders.
5. Unresolvable issue
6. Denial
7. FIR registered

Signature of the applicant

Sd/- M. Srinivasa Rao

Date:

: 04-06-2025

//With the approval //

Deputy Executing Officer

29

-----office, -----Mandal/Municipal

Public Grievance Redressal Platform (PGRS)

Nandyala District

Rc.No. A7/PGRS/2025

Date: 01.06.2025

Report

1.PGRS Application Number : NND20250625145

2 Applicant's name : M.CHRANJEEVI

3. Applicant's mobile number : 8897000840

4. Address : Srisailam, Nandyal District,

5 Complaint/Problem of the Applicant:

Regarding the removal of the candidate working as a Work Inspector on the outsourcing method from duty - regarding the implementation of the interim orders in WP.8235/2025 filed in the Hon'ble High Court and requesting that they be reinstated.

6. Applicant's problem resolution details

Shriyuta, Commissioner of the Endowment and Charitable Endowment Department, 550 13 L. Dis. No. C1/13025(43)/3/2025, D1.17.02.2025. A total of six technical staff members who worked on the outsourcing method were dismissed.

Next, the candidate filed a high-profile petition. WP.8235 of 2025 has been filed.

20

Furthermore, a report vide Rc. No. SBMSD-ADMNDEST/ 10/2024-ESTIA71 80.01.06.2025 has been sent to the Hon'ble Commissioner of the Endowments and Charities Department regarding this matter.

After receiving orders from the Hon'ble Commissioner of the Endowment and Charitable Department, steps will be taken to resolve the petitioner's issue as per the provisions, along with all other staff who have been removed from duty.

Appropriate action will be taken after the matter is within the jurisdiction of the court and government orders.

7. Current application status:

1. Resolved
2. Matter within the jurisdiction of the court
3. This is an administrative/financial matter and should be brought to the attention of higher authorities.

* Designation

4. Appropriate action will be taken after government orders.

5. Unresolvable issue

6. Denial

7. FIR registered

Signature of the applicant

Sd/- M. Srinivasa Rao

Date:

: 04-06-2025

//With the approval //

Deputy Executing Officer

91

8

Kurnool ; District

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI


C.C. No. of 2025

in

W.P. No. 8235 OF 2025

Endts

CONTEMPT CASE




Filed by:

K. ARUNA (6164)

Advocate

Counsel for Petitioner



9704225602