

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

W.P. No.

OF 2025

CHRONOLOGICAL / RUNNING INDEX

Sl. No.	Exhibit	Description of Document	Date of Document	Date of filing of Document	Page No.
1.		Service Certificate	02.04.2025	02.04.2025	1
2.		Court fee	-do-	-do-	2
3.		Writ Petition	-do-	-do-	3-4
4.		Annexure	-do-	-do-	5-7
5.		Affidavit	02.04.2025	-do-	8-13
6.		Verification	-do-	-do-	13
		<u>Material Papers</u>			
7.	P1	Impugned order	09.12.2024	-do-	14-17
8.	P2	Order passed in WP. No. 31575/ 2022	27.02.2024	-do-	18-21
9.	P3	representation	11.07.2022	-do-	22
10.	P4	List of proceedings regularizing other employees	-	-do-	23-25
11.	P5	Approved cadre strength	13.07.2023	-do-	26-28
11.		Vakalath	02.04.2025	02.04.2025	29
12.		Respondents Addresses	-do-	-do-	30

DATE: 02.04.2025

Amaravati

Counsel for the Petitioner

**MEMORANDUM OF WRIT PETITION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)
(UNDER ART. 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI**

W.P. NO.

OF 2024

Between:

Ragolu Srinivasa Rao,
s/o Atchaiah, aged about 51 years,
R/o # 3-1-273/2A, near Indian gas godown,
Kabela, Vidyadharampuram, Vijayawada.

...Petitioner

AND

1) The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by it's Principal Secretary (Endowments),
Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.

2) The Commissioner,
Endowments department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada.

3) Sri Durga Malleswara swamy varla devasthanam,
Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada.
Rep. by it's Executive Officer.

...Respondents

The address for service on the above named Petitioners is that of their counsel
J.V.Phaniduth (22024), Advocate, Villa No.24, Road No.6, Sector-1, Lotus Land
Mark, Ayodya Nagar, Vijayawada. Ph.9393380220.

For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is hereby prayed that
this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS or any other
appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to DECLARE the order dated 09.12.2024 in Rc.
No. A1/11026(48)/3/2024-10 issued by the 2nd respondent (received by me on
19.03.2025) rejecting my claim for regularization of my services in the post of

4

Conductor in the establishment of the 3rd respondent temple, as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative of provisions of AP Charitable and Hindu religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 and the rules made thereunder, contrary to Articles 14, 15, 19(1)(g) & 21 of Constitution of India besides contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court and consequently COMMAND the respondents No. 2 & 3 to regularize my services from the date of our first representation, in the interest of justice and pass such other other orders that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Amaravati
DATE: 02.04.2025

Counsel for the Petitioners

KRISHNA DISTRICT

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI**

W.P. NO.

OF 2025

WRIT PETITION

Filed By:

**M/s J.V.Phaniduth (22024)
Advocate**

**Counsel for Petitioners
Ph: 9393380220.**

5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

W.P.NO.

of 2025

Between:

Ragolu Srinivasa Rao

..Petitioner/s

AND

1) The State of Andhra Pradesh and others

..Respondent/s

LIST OF EVENTS

ANNEXURE – I

S.No	Date	Description of the Events	Page Nos in Affidavit	Para No. in Affidavit
1	19.03.2025	writ petition challenging the order dated 09.12.2024 in Rc. No. A1/11026(48)/3/2024-10 issued by the 2 nd respondent (received by me on 19.03.2025) rejecting my claim for regularization of my services in the post of Conductor in the establishment of the 3 rd respondent temple, as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative of provisions of AP Charitable and Hindu religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 and the rules made thereunder, contrary to Articles 14, 15, 19(1)(g) & 21 of Constitution of India besides contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble	8	2

6

		Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court.		
2	31.12.2013	Petitioner appointed as conductor on daily wage basis in the establishment of the 3 rd respondent temple as per the note orders of the 3 rd respondent. Subsequently on the report submitted by the then 3 rd respondent, the 2 nd respondent vide proceedings dated 31.12.2013 in L. Dis. No. A1/15739/2013 enhanced our salary for Rs. 295/- per day.	9	3
3	27.02.2024 09.12.2024	Petitioner representation for regularization of our services is not considered by the respondent officials, I along with others filed a writ petition, WP. No. 31525/2022 before this Hon'ble Court and this Hon'ble Court by it's order dated 27.02.2024 was pleased to dispose of the said writ petition with a direction to the 2 nd respondent to consider our representations for regularization of our services. Hence, the 2 nd respondent by an order dated 09.12.2024 (received by me on 19.03.2025 by the E-section) mechanically considered our representations and rejected	9	4

9

		the same without application of mind.		
4	Nil	Petitioner discharging my duties as dutiful conductor with utmost devotion and eking out livelihood having fond legitimate hope of regularization of my services. It is nowhere stated that, I am ineligible on any other grounds except the alleged impermissibility of law.	1A	5

ANNEXURE-II

Under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

DATE: 02.04.2025
PLACE: AMARAVATI

Counsel for the Petitioner

8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMRAVATHI

WP. No. OF 2025

Between:

Ragolu Srinivasa Rao,
s/o Atchaiah, aged about 51 years,
R/o # 3-1-273/2A, near Indian gas godown,
Kabela, Vidyadharampuram, Vijayawada.

...Petitioner

AND

1) The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by it's Principal Secretary (Endowments),
Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.

2) The Commissioner,
Endowments department,
Gollapudi, Vijayawada.

3) Sri Durga Malleswara swamy varla devasthanam,
Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada.
Rep. by it's Executive Officer.

...Respondents

AFFIDAVIT OF RAGOLU SRINIVASA RAO

I, Ragolu Srinivasa Rao, s/o Atchaiah, aged about 51 years, R/o # 3-1-273/2A, near Indian gas godown, Kabela, Vidyadharampuram, Vijayawada, do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as follows:

1) I am the petitioner and I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.

2) I submit that, I am filing the present writ petition challenging the order dated 09.12.2024 in Rc. No. A1/11026(48)/3/2024-10 issued by the 2nd respondent (received by me on 19.03.2025) rejecting my claim for regularization of my services in the post of Conductor in the establishment of the 3rd respondent temple, as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative of provisions of AP Charitable and Hindu




(9)

religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 and the rules made thereunder, contrary to Articles 14, 15, 19(1)(g) & 21 of Constitution of India besides contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court.

3) I submit that, I am appointed as conductor on daily wage basis in the establishment of the 3rd respondent temple as per the note orders of the 3rd respondent. Subsequently on the report submitted by the then 3rd respondent, the 2nd respondent vide proceedings dated 31.12.2013 in L. Dis. No. A1/15739/2013 enhanced our salary for Rs. 295/- per day. Thereafter, we are also being paid the minimum pay scale and the same being continued under different PRCs. When services of some of the employees working in the temple on daily wage basis/NMR/contractual basis are regularized, I also along with other employees submitted representation dated 11.07.2022 for regularization of our services. It is necessary to submit here that, I am rendering my services with utmost devotion and to the satisfaction of the higher authorities.

4) I submit that, as our representation for regularization of our services is not considered by the respondent officials, I along with others filed a writ petition, WP. No. 31525/2022 before this Hon'ble Court and this Hon'ble Court by it's order dated 27.02.2024 was pleased to dispose of the said writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider our representations for regularization of our services. Hence, the 2nd respondent by an order dated 09.12.2024 (received by me on 19.03.2025 by the E-section) mechanically considered our representations and rejected the same without application of mind. The impugned order is bad on the following among the other



10

GROUNDS

- i) I am working in a vacant post of cadre strength of conductors in the establishment of the 3rd respondent devasthanam for more than 10 years. The 2nd respondent erred in applying the law and in rejecting my application.
- ii) The 2nd respondent while referring to the judgment passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in State of Karnataka vs. Umadevi's case, grievously erred in applying the law laid down by Apex Court in the said case also.
- iii) The 2nd respondent discriminated me while considering my candidature while before, during and after rejection of my application for regularization, services of several other similarly situated employees as many as 130 employees in different wings of the temple and also other conductors are regularized.
- iv) Being that so, the 2nd respondent referred to several rules which does not come in the way of regularizing my services and rejected my application on misconception, by discrimination and non-application of mind and without exercising the authority as exercised for other similarly situated employees.
- v) As on this date, there are as many as 7 clear vacant posts of conductors in the approved cadre strength of the establishment of the 3rd respondent devasthanam. It is the law of the land that when there are clear vacant posts, the employees serving in the said posts on

R. S. M. [Signature]

(8)

contract or daily wage basis/NMRs for more than 10 years are eligible for regularization.

vi) I am already working on minimum pay scale under different PRCs and clear posts are vacant in the 3rd respondent temple for more than 15 years. That being so, the rejection of my application regularization does not arise at all.

vii) The respondent officials are not permitted to exploit my services without regularizing even though I possess all the requisite eligibilities and the existence of clear vacant posts.


viii) The 2nd respondent has got ample authority to regularize our services under the rule in vogue.

ix) Regularizing services of several similarly situated employees and rejecting my claim amounts to unreasonable discrimination which cannot be permitted by law.

x) The 2nd respondent did not give sufficient hearing and fair opportunity to put forth our case.

xi) Viewed from any angle, the impugned orders rejecting my application for regularization of my services is bad and illegal, arbitrary.

5) I submit that, I am discharging my duties as dutiful conductor with utmost devotion and eking out livelihood having fond legitimate hope of regularization of my services. It is nowhere stated that; I am ineligible on any other grounds except the alleged impermissibility of law. On one hand in spite of my eligibility in all respects and being there



clear vacant posts and on the other action of the 2nd respondent regularizing the services of many other similarly situated employees as many as 130 employees in the same and several other temples, the impugned order rejecting my claim for regularization is illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and cannot be permitted in the eye of law. If the impugned order is allowed to continue, I'll suffer irreparable loss and hardships.

6) Under these circumstances stated above, I have no other efficacious alternative remedy, except to approach this Hon'ble Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

10) I submit that I have not filed any suit, writ or other proceedings before any Court for the relief prayed herein and not such suit, writ or other proceedings are pending before any other Court of law.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to DECLARE the order dated 09.12.2024 in Rc. No. A1/11026(48)/3/2024-10 issued by the 2nd respondent (received by me on 19.03.2025) rejecting my claim for regularization of my services in the post of Conductor in the establishment of the 3rd respondent temple, as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, violative of provisions of AP Charitable and Hindu religious Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 and the rules made thereunder, contrary to Articles 14, 15, 19(1)(g) & 21 of Constitution of India besides contrary to law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court and this Hon'ble Court and consequently COMMAND the respondents No. 2 & 3 to regularize my services from the date of our first representation, in the interest of justice and pass such orther other



13

orders that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Pending the above writ petition, I pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to DIRECT the respondent No. 3 to extend me all the benefits that are applicable to the regular employees in the 3rd respondent temple, in the interest of justice and pass such other orders that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Solemnly and sincerely affirm this
the day of 02.04.2025
and signed his name in my presence.



Deponent

BEFORE ME


ADVOCATE :: VIJAYAWADA

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Ragclu Srinivasa Rao, s/o Atchaiah, being the petitioner/ person acquainted with the facts do hereby verify and state that the contents of the above paras of the Affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. The above contents are typed under my instructions and same are read over and explained to me in vernacular language. Hence, verified at Vijayawada on this the day of 02.04.2025.

Advocate

Deponent




14

?

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMISSIONER
ENDOWMENTS DEPARTMENT**

Present: S.Satyanarayana, I.A.S.,
Commissioner



Proceedings in Re.No.A1/11026(48)/3/2024-10, Dt: 09.12.2024

⑥
A1
Sub: Endowments Department - Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada - W.P.No.31575 of 2022 filed by Sri T.Hanumantha Rao, D.Vijaya Kumar, P.Venkat Rao, K.V.Ramesh, P.Nagamalleswara Rao and Ragolu Srinivasa Rao - Common orders in W.P.No.23424/2020 & batch, Dt.27.02.2024 - Enquiry conducted by giving opportunity of personal hearing - Examined - Rejected - Orders - Issued.

- Ref: 1) Common orders Dt.27.02.2024 in W.P.No.23424 of 2020 & batch of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh.
2) Hearing Notice No.A1/COE-11026(48)/3/2024, Dt.29.04.2024.

Some of Daily wage workers / NMRs / Contract employees on consolidated pay working in various Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments have filed certain Writ Petitions before the Hon'ble High Court of A.P., for regularization of their services in the institutions concerned. After hearing both sides, without going into merits, the Hon'ble Court have disposed of the batch writ petitions vide common order dated 27.02.2024, relegating the matter to the Commissioner of Endowments to adjudicate the claims of the petitioners by treating the said writ petitions as their representations and that the Commissioner shall issue notice of hearing to all the concerned petitioners and pass a speaking order.

10
In pursuance of common orders of Hon'ble High Court in reference 1st cited, the Commissioner of Endowments has issued hearing notice to Sri T.Hanumantha Rao, D.Vijaya Kumar, P.Venkat Rao, K.V.Ramesh, P.Nagamalleswara Rao and Ragolu Srinivasa Rao, Petitioners in W.P.No.31575

(15)

of 2022, by giving an opportunity of personal hearing vide reference 2nd cited and accordingly conducted enquiry. The petitioners appeared personally along with their Advocate and the Executive Officer of the concerned institution have attended.

Heard both sides and examined the record.

The claim of the petitioners is for regularization of services on account of long period of service rendered in the institution. Since, the institution concerned was published under Sec.6 of the A.P.C & H.R.I and Endowments Act, 1987 (the Act 30 of 1987) and thus the institution is under the administrative control of Endowments Department. The service conditions of employees of the Endowment institutions are governed by the A.P.C & H.R.I and Endowments Office Holders and Servants Service Rules, 2000 framed under G.O.Ms.No.888, Revenue (Endowments-I) Department, Dt.08.12.2000.

Rule-46 of the rules issued under G.O.Ms.No.888, Dt.08.12.2000, prescribes procedure for direct recruitment. Wherein, it is stated that any vacancy to be filled up by direct recruitment shall be notified by the Executive Officer to the Employment exchange having jurisdiction over the institution as well as by way of paper notification. Selection of the candidates shall be made by the committee to be constituted by the Commissioner and the said committee shall conduct a written examination followed by oral test by observing the rule of reservation.

As per Rule-38 of the said Rules, the Commissioner of Endowments is the competent authority to fix-up the cadre strength of each class and category of posts in the institution upto the category of senior assistant. In respect of the

post of Superintendent and above in the institution, the Commissioner shall consult the Government before according such sanction of post.

Rule-39, prescribes qualifications as specified in column (4) of Annexure-III appended to the Rules by the method specified in column (3) thereof.

The Government have issued G.O.Rt.No.1997, Revenue (Endowments-I) Department, Dt.18.10.2005, prohibiting further recruitment of all administrative staff in Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments, until further orders. The said G.O is still in-force.

The petitioners were not entered into service in the establishment of institution concerned through any selection process as envisaged under Rule-46 of the rules framed under G.O.Ms.No.888, Dt.08.12.2000 and as amended vide G.O.Ms.No.231, Dt.01.06.2023.

The petitioners have been receiving minimum basic pay attached to the post concerned without allowances such as HRA, DA, CCA, etc., as consolidated pay in view of Government Memo No.36021/32/Endowments-I(2)/2018, Dt.05.12.2018 and consequential proceedings of the Commissioner in Rc.No.COE-/11026/64/2018, Dt.12.12.2018, which were issued in pursuance of orders of the Hon'ble High Court in some writ petitions basing on the principle of "equal pay for equal work" as observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case between State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh & Others.

In the light of above facts, the undersigned has taken up the matter to comply with common orders dated 27.02.2024 in W.P.No.23424 of 2020 & batch of the Hon'ble Court.

The petitioners have relied on certain judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The authority judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is Umadevi's case (2006) 4 SCC 1. Wherein, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has observed that regularization of temporary / casual / daily wage / NMRs would defeat the Constitutional Scheme under Article 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India. In para-53 of the said judgment, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the Union of India, the State Governments and their instrumentalities should take steps to regularize as a onetime measure, the temporary employees, who were appointed by way of selection process and worked for 10 years or more in duly sanctioned posts but not under cover of orders of the Courts or Tribunals.

The petitioners have entered into establishment of the institution without any selection process as envisaged in the Rule-46 of the rules.

In view of the aforesaid reasons, the claim of the petitioners Sri T.Hanumantha Rao, D.Vijaya Kumar, P.Venkat Rao, K.V.Ramesh, P.Nagamalleswara Rao and Ragolu Srinivasa Rao for regularization of service cannot be accepted. Accordingly, the said claim is hereby rejected.

[Signature]
Commissioner

To:

- 1) Sri T.Hanumantha Rao, D.Vijaya Kumar, P.Venkat Rao, K.V.Ramesh, P.Nagamalleswara Rao and Ragolu Srinivasa Rao, Petitioners in W.P.No.31575 of 2022 (through Sl.No.2).
- 2) The Executive Officer, Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada, who is directed to serve the intended copy on the Sl.No.1, duly obtaining acknowledgement and furnish the same to this office for record.

18

P₂

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G. NARENDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

**W.P.Nos.23424 of 2020, 16409, 19595, 26689, 28978 of 2021,
12210, 26783, 26784, 26785, 26943, 27576, 29870, 28947, 28949,
28950, 28953, 28958, 31574, 31575, 31578, 31580, 31586, 33349,
33351, 33396, 38237, 38238, 38248 of 2022, 1618, 12177, 12532,
14345, 16813, 20419, 22242, 23010 and 30065 of 2023**

COMMON ORDER: *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice G.Narendar)*

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.
2. All the Writ Petitions are taken up for disposal by this Common Order as they lie in a very narrow compass and with the consent of all the counsels, the writ petitioners were heard for disposal.
3. The petitioners uniformly claim that they have been employed in various posts on Daily Wage Basis/NMR and Contractual Basis. The dates of engagement and the period of engagement are different and the petitioners are seeking claim for regularization on account of long period of service rendered by them.

4. Having heard the learned counsel on both sides, this Court is of the considered opinion that marshalling and commission of facts could be of critical importance in order to settle the factual parameters of the claims, which in our considered opinion, the Courts in exercising power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are ill-equipped to do. This Court has suggested that such an exercise of fact finding be carried out by an authority and suggested that the Commissioner of Endowments would be the appropriate authority to appreciate the facts with the back ground of the Endowments Act and the Law. The petitioners are claiming engagement with different Temples in the State, but admittedly under the supervision of the Endowments Commissioner.

5. In that view of the matter, we are of the considered opinion that it would be in the interest of the party that the matter be relegated to the 2nd respondent to adjudicate the claims of the petitioners. The Writ Petitions shall be treated as representations. The 2nd respondent shall issue notice of hearing to all the concerned petitioners within one (1) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall thereafter fix the date of hearing and endeavour to complete the hearing and pass a speaking order and thereby dispose of the claims of the petitioners within an outer limit of six (6) months.

6. The Writ Petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

The 2nd respondent shall endeavour to dispose of the claims without seeking for any further extension of time.

There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE G. NARENDAR

JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

Date: 27.02.2024

IS/KLP

21

4

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G. NARENDAR

AND

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

W.P.Nos.23424 of 2020, 16409, 19595, 26689, 28978 of 2021,
12210, 26783, 26784, 26785, 26943, 27576, 29870, 28947, 28949,
28950, 28953, 28958, 31574, 31575, 31578, 31580, 31586, 33349,
33351, 33396, 38237, 38238, 38248 of 2022, 1618, 12177, 12532,
14345, 16813, 20419, 22242, 23010 and 30065 of 2023

Date: 27.02.2024

IS/KLP

22

P₃

To,
The Executive Officer,
Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam,
Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada.



Respected Madam,

We submit that we are working as NMR in Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada since the year 2011 and performing our duties since then.

We submit that the Government has issued Memo in No. ENDW/502/2019/Endt. I(2) dated 2-2-2022 wherein three persons namely A.K.D. Siva Kumar (Junior Assistant), V. Praneeth Babu (Junior Assistant) and N. Jaya Prakash working in Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri were regularized who are much juniors to me.

We submit that basing on the Government Memo, the Commissioner, Endowments Department has issued proceedings in Rc. No. A1/11026/ 55/2019 dated 21-6-2022 to implement the Government Memo by regularization the above mentioned three persons and your authority has regularized their services vide proceedings dated 28-6-2022 and the said three persons have also joined their duties on 28-6-2022.

We therefore humbly request your authority to kindly regularize our services as per the proceedings / Memo issued by the Government, Commissioner, Endowments Department dated 02-02-2022 and 26-02-2022 respectively and do justice to us.

Thanking You

Yours faithfully

- ① P. Nagar Malleswara Swamy
- ② P. Venkateswara Rao
- ③ T. Anand Kumar
- ④ R. Srinivasulu
- ⑤ R. Jayaram
- ⑥ P. S. S. S. S.

23

24

Sl. No.	Name of the employee	Cadre	Proceedings
1.	S.V. Prasad	Driver	Rc. No.A1/5943/2010 dated 31-8-2011 & Rc. No.A3/9176/2005 dated 26-12-2006
2.	N. Nagendra Varma	Driver	- do -
3.	S. Srirama Chandra Murthy	Driver	- do -
4.	B.V. Krishna Rao	Conductor	- do -
5.	V. Srinivasa Reddy	- do -	- do -
6.	T. Subramanyam	- do -	- do -
7.	G. Prasad	- do -	- do -
8.	G.L.V.R. Chowdary	- do -	- do -
9.	G.V. Krishna Rao	Record Asst./ care takers	Rc. No.A1/627/2011 dated 4-1-2012
10.	A. Nadhuram	- do -	- do -
11.	Y. Venkateswara Rao	- do -	- do -
12.	D.V.V.G.K. Prasad	Record Asst.	Rc.No.A1/COE-11026/124/2018- A-SEG-ENDOWMENTS dated 24-1-2019
13.	G. Satish Kumar	- do -	- do -
14.	P. Rathna Reddy	- do -	- do -
15.	A.K.D. Siva Kumar	Junior Asst.	Memo No. Endw./502/2019/Endt.I(2) dated 2-2-2022 & Rc. No.A./11026/55/2019 dated 21-6-2022
16.	V. Praneeth Babu	- do -	- do -
17.	N. Jayaprakash Rao	Sanitary Inspector	- do -

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, SRI DURGA MALLESWARA SWAMY
VARLA DEVASTHANAM, INDRAKEELADRI, VIJAYAWADA

Present: D.Bramaramba,
Joint Commissioner &
Executive Officer

Proceedings in Rc.No.A1/1205/2019 Dt. 28-06-2022

Sub: Endowments Department – Establishment section - Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy Varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri Vijayawada – Regularization of services of NMRs i.e., Sri V.Praneeth Babu, Sri A.K.D.Siva Kumar and Sri M.Jaya Prakash in the existing vacancies – Orders – Issued.

- Ref: 1. Govt. Memo.No.ENDW/502/2019-Endt.I (2), Dt.02.02.2022.
2. Proceedings of the Commissioner, Endowments Departments, AP, Gollapudi, Vijayawada in Rc.No.A1/COE-11026/68/2019, Dt.15.02.2022.
3. Proceedings of the Commissioner, Endowments Departments, AP, Gollapudi, Vijayawada in Rc.No.A1/11026/68/2019, Dt.09.03.2022.
4. Proceedings of the Commissioner, Endowments Departments, AP, Gollapudi, Vijayawada in Rc.No.A1/11026/55/2019, Dt.21.06.2022.
5. Letter dated 23.06.2022 from Sri V.Praneeth Babu, Sri A.K.D.Siva Kumar and Sri M.Jaya Prakash.

In pursuance of the orders of the Commissioner, Endowments Departments, AP, Gollapudi, Vijayawada in the reference 4th cited and letter of the individuals in the reference 5th cited, the services of the following NMRs are hereby regularized in the existing vacancies as per their Educational qualifications in the establishment of this Devasthanam as noted below.

Sl.No	Name of the Employee	Designation	Scale of Pay
1	Sri V.Praneeth Babu	Junior Assistant	16400 - 470 - 16870 - 510 - 18400 - 550 - 20050 - 590 - 21820 - 640 - 23740 - 700 - 25840 - 760 - 28120 - 820 - 30580 - 880 - 33220 - 950 - 36070 - 1030 - 39160 - 1110 - - 42490 - 1190 - 46060 1270 - 49870 (RPS-2015)
2	Sri A.K.D.Siva Kumar	Record Assistant	15030 - 430 - 15460 - 470 - 16870 - 510 - 18400 - 550 - 20050 - 590 - 21820 - 640 - 23740 - 700 - 25840 - 760 - 28120 - 820 - 30580 - 880 - 33220 - 950 - 36070 - 1030 - 39160 - 1110 - 42490 - 1190 - 46060 (RPS-2015)
3	Sri M.Jaya Prakash	Record Assistant	15030 - 430 - 15460 - 470 - 16870 - 510 - 18400 - 550 - 20050 - 590 - 21820 - 640 - 23740 - 700 - 25840 - 760 - 28120 - 820 - 30580 - 880 - 33220 - 950 - 36070 - 1030 - 39160 - 1110 - 42490 - 1190 - 46060 (RPS-2015)

25

:: 2 ::

The above individuals are informed that this appointment and regularization order is subject to outcome of the court cases and liabilities if any. It is further informed that this appointment and regularization order is purely temporary and liable for termination and reback to their original position at any time without assigning any reason or issuing prior notice to that effect and also informed that this order is subject to withdrawal of the pending court cases immediately filed by the individuals.

The above individuals are directed to submit their joining reports to this office along with physical fitness, educational qualification certificates, date of birth certificates and their caste certificates in original. This orders will be effected from the date of submission of joining reports in the cadre of new posts.

The pay bills clerk is directed to take necessary action.


Joint Commissioner &
Executive Officer

To

1. To the above individuals
2. Copy to Pay Bill Clerk
3. Copy to Service Register Clerk
4. Copy to AAO, State Audit, SDMS Devasathanam, Vijayawada.
5. Copy submitted to the Commissioner, Endowments Dept., A.P., Gollapudi, Vja., for favor of kind information.
6. Extra

P5

26

SRI DURGA MALLESWARA SWAMY VARLA DEVASTHANAM



INDRAKEELADRI, VIJAYAWADA - 520 001

Ph: 0866 - 2425744, 2423600, 2423800 Fax: 0866 - 2423800

D.Bramaramba, Joint Commisioner &

Executive Officer

To
The Commissioner,
Endowments Department,
A.P., Gollapudi,
Vijayawada.

Date:

Rc.No.A1/18/2022, dt. 13-07-2023

Sir,

Sub: Endowments Department - Sri Durga Malleswara Swamy varla Devasthanam, Indrakeeladri, Vijayawada - Establishment Section - Details of cadre strength, working and vacancies of Administrative, Religious and Engineering posts with Assessable income and establishment charges of this Devasthanam - Report called for - Submitted - Reg.

Ref: Memo in Rc.No.C1/13025(40)/18/2023, dt:11.07.2023 - from the Commissioner, Endowments Department, A.P., Gollapudi, Vijayawada.

I submit that in the reference cited the Commissioner, Endowments Department, AP, Gollapudi, Vijayawada has directed this Devasthanam to furnish the details of cadre strength of Administrative, Religious and Engineering posts, working strength and vacancies along with Assessable income and establishment charges in the prescribed format.

I herewith submit the details in the following format for favour of kind perusal.

S.No	Nomenclature of the post	Cadre Strength	Working Strength	Vacancy Position	Remarks
Administrative posts					
1.	Assistant Executive Officer	5	4	1	Sri N.Ramesh Babu, A.E.O of this Devasthanam was transferred to SVLNS Devasthanam, Simhachalam

				27	and no AEO was transferred to this Devasthanam in his substitution.
2.	Superintendent	13	13	0	
3.	Senior Assistant	14	10	4	
4.	Junior Assistant	42	29	13	
5.	Record Assistants	21	21	0	
6.	Attenders	29	23	06	
7.	Sweepers + Scavengers	40	6 + 2	32	
8.	Watchman + Goorkha	8 + 2	2 + 2	6 + 0	
9.	Editor	1	0	1	
10.	Receptionist	1	0	1	
Religious Posts					
11.	Pradhana Archaka	4	4	0	
12.	Upa Pradhana Archaka	8	8	0	
13.	Mukhya Archaka	15	15	0	
14.	Archaka	44	44	0	
15.	Paricharika	22	2	20	
16.	Vedapandit	21	18	3	
17.	Puranapandit	1	1	0	
18.	Abhishek Pandit	1	1	0	
19.	Sthanacharya	1	1	0	
20.	Adhyapaka	1	1	0	
21.	Srichakranavavarc hana	1	1	0	
22.	Assistant Cooks	7	5	2	
23.	Cook	2	2	1	
24.	Dolu	10	9	1	
25.	Naadaswaram	9	8	1	
26.	Taalam	6	6	0	
27.	Sruthi	4	2	2	

Engineering posts					
28.	Executive Engineer	2	2	0	
29.	Dy. Executive Engineer	3	3	0	
30.	Asst. Engineer	4	4	0	
31.	Draughtsman	1	1	0	
32.	Work Inspector	1	0	1	
33.	Gold Appraiser	1	1	0	
34.	Barber supervisor	1	1	0	
35.	Sanitary inspector	1	1	0	
36.	Electrician	1	1	0	
37.	Drivers	13	6	7	
38.	Conductors	8	1	7	
39.	Cleaners	3	2	1	

The Assessable income for the financial year 2023-24 is Rs.1569767048/- and the Establishment percentage is 17%. This is submitted for favour of kind perusal.

Yours faithfully,

E. P. S. S. S.
Joint Commissioner &
Executive Officer

13/7/2023

Counsel for the Petitioners

2

G/p

KRISHNA DISTRICT

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI**

I.A.NO. OF 2025

IN

W.P. NO. OF 2025

Endly

DIRECTION PETITION



Filed by:

M/S J.V.PHANIDUTH (22024)
Advocate

Counsel for Petitioner
Ph: 9393380220.

←